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Photograph of Comet 1996 B2 Hyakutake by Frank J. Melillo, taken on 1996 Mar. 25 at 04h05m04 h 14 m UT; a 10-minute exposure on hypered Kodak TP2415 Film with an $80-\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{f} / 4.5$ lens. North is at the top and the "handle" of the Big Dipper asterism is to the right. Note the dislocation midway in the tail. The field of view is $21^{\circ}$ across. Mr. Melillo visually estimated the tail length as $19^{\circ}$, and the total magnitude as +0.6 .
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# The 1994-1995 Aphelic Apparition of Mars 

By: Daniel M. Troiani, Donald C. Parker, and Carlos E. Hernandez


#### Abstract

The 1994-1995 Apparition of Mars was aphelic, in that the planet's apparent diameter reached only 13.85 arc-seconds at opposition, the smallest since 1980. However, Mars was high in the sky for northern observers. Furthermore, since the Martian North Pole was tilted earthward, observers were able to watch the spring-summer regression of the North Polar Cap and the concomitant increase in meteorological activity. These phenomena were the main subjects of the observational programs of the Association of Lunar and Planetary Observers' International Mars Patrol (IMP). Despite Mars' small angular size, improved instrumentation and observational techniques permitted high-quality work to be done. For the hard-core Mars observer this apparition turned out to be very exciting!


## INTRODUCTION

For the 1994-95 Apparition, the A.L.P.O. Mars Section received 2,206 observations from 66 observers, listed in Table 1 (p. 9) in ten countries as part of the International Mars Patrol (IMP). The was very satisfactory for an aphelic apparition that had Mars at 101 million kilometers from Earth at its closest approach on 1995 FEB 11. However, Mars was at declination $18^{\circ}$ North at opposition on FEB 12, favoring observers in our Northern Hemisphere. Observations covered the period from Ls $261^{\circ}$ (T. Stryk) to $127^{\circ}$ (H. Ishado), corresponding to Martian northern late Fall through early Summer (see Figure 1, p. 2). [Ls is a measure of the Martian season, where Ls $000^{\circ}$ marks the beginning of Northern-Hemisphere Spring, $090^{\circ}$ the Northern Summer, $180^{\circ}$ the Northern Fall, and $270^{\circ}$ Northern-Hemisphere Winter.] Observers submitted 1297 drawings, 41 photographs, and 444 CCD images. In addition, we received 424 measurements of the areocentric latitude of the south edge of the North Polar Cap (NPC), obtained between $022^{\circ}$ and $122^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ from bifilar micrometer, CCD and video red-light images. The NPC displayed numerous rifts and web-like features. Some interesting relationships between Martian meteorology and NPC behavior appear to be emerging, with numerous atmospheric features such as limb clouds and hazes, orographic clouds, equatorial band clouds and the Syrtis Major Blue Cloud increasing in prominence as the NPC regressed.

## CAN AMATEUR OBSERVATIONS Still Be Worthwhile?

The answer is definitely YES!! The Mars Section has assisted a number of professional planetary scientists during the present apparition by responding to requests for A.L.P.O. Mars data. One request came from Fred Espenak of the NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center-Planetary Systems Branch. He observed Mars in March, 1995 in the 10 -micron band with NASA's 3-meter telescope (IRTF) on Mauna Kea. He was measuring the distribution of ozone in Mars' atmosphere using the

Goddard's IR Heterodyne Spectrometer. In his analysis of this data he needed to know the transparency of the Martian atmosphere at the time of his observation. Our data showed him what clouds or haze activities were present before, during and after his observing run on Mauna Kea.

Furthermore, Jim Bell and Jeff Moersch of the NASA Planetary Astronomy program at Cornell University hosted the Mars Telescopic Observations (MTO) Workshop, sponsored by the Lunar and Planetary Institute. The theme of this workshop was to explore the role that current and continuing Earth-based observations play in increasing our understanding of Mars. In addition, it brought together astronomers who work at different wavelengths, both professionals and amateurs, and who do not often have the opportunity to share their ideas with one another. All of the Mars Recorders were invited to present papers on the work of our section. Don Parker gave a talk on the NPC regressions, past and present and on the continuing IMP meteorological survey that Jeff Beish and he have been conducting for many years. Dan Joyce substituted for Dan Troiani, who was unable to attend, and presented the A.L.P.O. Mars Section results from both the 1992-93 and the 1994-95 Martian Apparitions, including numerous drawings, photographs, and videos. All of the A.L.P.O. papers were well received and generated a great deal of interest from the professional astronomers present. Abstracts of the MTO Workshop papers have been published in a professional abstract volume [Bell and Moersch 1995]. The work of the IMP-your observations and hard work-has made an impact upon the professional planetary scientific community. Even in this day of space telescopes and planned space missions, planetary scientists are still interested in using amateurs' data about Mars. In fact, a significant portion of the MTO workshop was devoted to planning ground-based support programs for the upcoming space probes to Mars, and amateur visible-light monitoring was included in these plans. We will keep the readers and our observers informed of these programs as they crystallize, including via an E-mail alert network.


Figure 1. Heliocentric chart of the orbits of Mars and Earth, defining the seasons of both planets in the Martian planetocentric system Ls. The heavy portion of Mars' orbit represents the coverage by IMP observers during the 1994-95 Apparition.

## The Martian Arctic

The North Polar Hood (NPH) was conspicuously visible from June, 1994, through late October, 1994 ( $290^{\circ}-010^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ), after which time the hood became fragmented. This agrees well with Ebisawa's finding that the polarization of the north polar region weakened around $009^{\circ}$ Ls [Ebisawa 1995]. Whitby, Warell, Niechoy, and Parker reported the NPC largely free of the hood around $358^{\circ}$ Ls, but at times high thin arctic clouds were visible in blue light through November ( $025^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) by

Will, Stryk, and Schmude. There was an arctic cold front that pushed clouds south of the NPC on the morning limb on 1994 OCT 07, and again on 1994 Nov $03\left(359^{\circ}\right.$ and $012^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ respectively). Lowell's Band, the dark collar around the NPC, first appeared around midOctober, as reported by Warell, and persisted throughout the apparition.

## The NPC Regression

The Martian Northern Spring-early Summer ( $022^{\circ}-112^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) regression of the NPC was investigated by measuring the areocentric latitudes of the cap's edge from 220 bifilar micrometer measurements, 168 CCD images, and 36 video images (graphed in Figure 2, below). In all cases, red filters were employed and the east-west extent of the cap was measured and corrected for phase and Ds (areocentric solar declination). Areocentric latitudes were computed using the formulae derived by Beish [Dobbins, et al. 1986]. There were no statistically significant differences between the micrometer, CCD, or video determinations, supporting preliminary data obtained during the 1992-1993 Apparition. This is good news, since micrometric determinations are tedious and require considerable experience. We anticipate obtaining more NPC data in future apparitions as the number of astronomers submitting CCD and video images grows. It must be stressed, however, that observations must be made through red filters, since even thin arctic hazes and clouds will make the cap appear larger than it really is. Perhaps this is one reason that cap sizes derived from drawings are systematically larger than those measured from red-light images. We must also stress that CCD images submit-


Figure 2. The 1994-1995 Mars North Polar Cap regression based on A.L.P.O.-I.M.P. red-light measurements using bifilar micrometers, and video and CCD images.
ted for NPC measurements must be carefully calibrated; that is, flat fielded and dark-subtracted.

Initially the NPC was significantly smaller than normal but then it exhibited a delayed regression, similar to that observed in the 19921993 Apparition. This retardation ceased at approximately $060^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$, after which the cap's edge retreated rapidly to a latitude of $84^{\circ} .8 \mathrm{~N}$. by $100^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$. The tiny summer cap remnant remained at approximately this size into early Northern Summer, when the planet's small apparent size precluded further measurements. A slight transient regrowth of the cap was observed between $065^{\circ}$ and $072^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$, corresponding to the well-known "Aphelic Chill." This phenomenon, first described by Clyde Tombaugh, is a temporary reforming of arctic hazes near aphelion [Capen and Capen 1970]. Overall, the $1994-1995$ Spring ( $024^{\circ}-090^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) NPC was slightly ( $2^{\circ} .4$ ) but significantly smaller than those of 1980 and 1982 ( $0.05>\mathrm{P}>$ 0.01 , meaning that the probability of this result being due to chance was between .01 and .05), suggesting a continuation of the warming trend first observed in 1980 [Parker et al. 1983]. For a comparison of NPC regressions for aphelic apparitions during the last three decades, see Figure 3, below. The 1984 North Cap exhibited unusual retardations in regression between $060^{\circ}$ and $110^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$, a period coinciding with the appearances of five localized dust storms [Beish and Parker 1990a]. Inclusion of these 1984 data would make the difference between 1995 and the 1980's even greater but could introduce spurious results. Our 1995 data agree nicely with results obtained by Iwasaki [1995] and with preliminary Hubble Space Telescope (HST) image measurements.

## NPC Rifts

## 1. The Chasma Boreale and NPC Outli-

ers.-Upon emergence from beneath the polar hood, the NPC displays a rather smooth and uniform border. Later, during the rapid-thaw period, the edge of the cap will appear uneven, with numerous small, white, circular detachments along its border. By Martian summer, the NPC retreat slows and three bright projections, termed outliers, appear and detach from the cap as its edge retreats above $80^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. latitude. The white remnants may persist throughout Northern Summer and Fall, although this has not been the case in recent apparitions. The outliers are named after the areas on Mars that they occupy. Their averaged center-of-area areographic longitudes according to G. de Mottini's 1941-52 IAU Mars map are as follows: Ierne, $137^{\circ}$; Lemuria (Olympia), $200^{\circ}$; and Cecropia, $297^{\circ}$. [Note that Martian longitudes are measured westward from $000^{\circ}$ to $360^{\circ}$.] Lemuria is separated from the cap by a dark conspicuous rift, called the Chasma Boreale. The positions of the outliers vary from one apparition to the next, as can be seen in Table 2 (p. 9).

The outliers and the Chasma Boreale were well observed during the 1994-1995 Apparition. In January, 1995, Hiroshi Ishadoh of the OAA Mars Section (Oriental Astronomical Association, Japan) observed a shadowy streak in the NPC that Masatsugu Minami of the OAA believes to be the early start of the Chasma Boreale. Parker's CCD images from late December through mid February ( $039^{\circ}$ $060^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) reveal a concentric dark NPC rift, producing a lifesaver effect on the cap that corresponded in orientation and position to the Chasma Boreale. By 1995 FEB 17 the classical NPC peripheral extensions, or outliers, called


Figure 3. Martian North Polar Cap regressions for the aphelic apparitions from 1963 through 1995 (the years are the dates of opposition). Based on A.L.P.O.-IMP data.

Lemuria and Ierne had become completely detached from the cap. Lemuria persisted until at least late March ( $078^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) and was possibly detected as late as mid June, at $111^{\circ}$ Ls. Ierne was imaged well into May ( $097^{\circ}$ Ls). The third classical outlier, Cecropia, was not detected in its established location at $280^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, but a peripheral deposit was noted near $350^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, close to the location of one of two unnamed bright spots observed in 1982 and 1984 [Beish and Parker 1988]. This feature appeared on images taken between late February ( $064^{\circ}$ Ls) and early March, 1995 ( $068^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ).
2. The Rima Tenuis.-Giovani Schiaparelli, while using a 9 -inch refractor in 1888 , noted that the North Polar Cap of Mars was divided in two parts by a dark rift or fissure. This observation was later confirmed by Terby and Perrotin. This rift, crossing the cap at approximate longitudes $135^{\circ}$ and $325^{\circ}$, is called the Rima Tenuis and was observed many times from 1901 through 1918. Records from the British Astronomical Association (BAA) reveal that the Rima Tenuis had been observed during 1933 and again in 1950. Then it apparently disappeared for nearly 30 years. C.F. Capen searched unsuccessfully for the feature during the 1960 's, even though he employed telescopes of 16,30 and 82 inches in aperture. The Rima also eluded the Viking Orbiters during the 1970 's. It was not until late 1979 that the Rima Tenuis reappeared, when D. Troiani detected a dark notch at the south edge of the NPC near $335^{\circ}$ areographic longitude. R. Robotham, J. Dragesco, J. Beish and D. Parker observed the Rima Tenuis within days of the first observations of the notch. Later, on 1980 Feb 22, P. Moore and C.F. Capen observed the complete Rima Tenuis while using the Lowell Observatory's 24 -inch refractor [Capen, 1980]. The first photograph of this elusive feature was obtained by A. MacFarlane on 1980 Feb 22. Visibility of the Rima Tenuis has increased since 1979. While this may be due in part to improved instrumentation and greater awareness of the feature, there is evidence that the Rima is intrinsically more conspicuous, since observers are detecting it with instruments as small as 6 inches in aperture.

The 1994-1995 Apparition is the fifth consecutive aphelic apparition during which this feature was observed. It may have been detected as early as 1994 DEC 02 ( $026^{\circ}$ Ls), when D. Niechoy of Germany observed a NPC rift corresponding in position to the Rima. A number of reports were made between 1994 DEC 20 and 1995 JAN 02 ( $034^{\circ}$ $040^{\circ}$ Ls), when S.R. Whitby, D. Troiani, D. Parker, G. Cameron, T. Stryk, and M. Schmidt (CCD images) independently observed both notches and the rift itself. The notches in the cap edge were located at $080^{\circ}$ and $332^{\circ}$ areographic longitudes. The next observations of this rift were reported on 1995 JAN 26 ( $051^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) by D. Joyce and D. Troiani using the Cernan Space Center (Triton College) 10in $\mathrm{f} / 8$ Newtonian. Troiani made a drawing
showing the complete rift with his $17.5-$-in f/4.5 reflector on 1995 JAN 27, when M. Schmidt and C. Tobias obtained CCD images at the Racine Observatory (Wisconsin) that clearly showed the Rima Tenuis notch in the south edge of the NPC. Over the next two nights a number of midwestern observers, including D. Drake, Jesse Carroll, Troiani, and Joyce, saw the Rima Tenuis with telescopes ranging from 6 inches to 17.5 inches in aperture. On 1995 Jan 30, M. Schmidt obtained excellent CCD images showing the Rima Tenuis starting at the small notch in the NPC at $332^{\circ}$ and cutting across the cap. Images from the HST reveal a faint streak in the cap at the right longitude. After opposition D.L. Lehman obtained high-quality CCD images of the Rima Tenuis on 1995 MAR $08\left(069^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}\right)$, and D. Parker imaged the feature between 1995 MAY 09 and MAY 23 ( $096^{\circ}-102^{\circ}$ Ls).

## Meteorology

Clouds, clouds and more clouds. That's what many observers reported on Mars from January through March of 1995. It is most gratifying that most observers employed blue filters and thus were able to make high-quality observations of Mars' atmosphere. This study is a most important part of the A.L.P.O. Mars program and one that provides a valuable source of data for professional astronomers. The following meteorological results for the 1994-1995 Apparition are still qualitative only, because several hundred blue-light observations are being measured and statistically analyzed by Beish and Parker for inclusion in their ongoing study of Martian clouds [Beish and Parker 1990b]. The study now includes over 24,000 drawings, photographs , and CCD images obtained since 1965 ! The major Martian clouds, as reported during the 1994-95 Apparition, are mapped in Figure 5 (p. 10).

## Limb Clouds and Hazes.

1. Limb Brightenings.-Also called limb arcs, these are caused by scattered light from dust and dry ice particles high in the Martian atmosphere. They are often brilliant in blue light but can also be bright in all wavelengths. They were reported throughout the apparition.
2. Morning Clouds.-These are bright, isolated patches of surface fog or frosty ground near the morning limb (Mars' western edge as seen on Earth's sky). The fogs usually dissipate by mid-morning, while the frosts may persist most of the Martian day, depending on the season.
3. Evening Clouds.-These have the same appearance as morning clouds but are usually larger and more numerous than morning clouds. They appear as isolated bright patches over light desert regions in the late Martian afternoon and grow in size as they rotate into the late evening. Morning and evening limb clouds are distinguished from localized clouds in that they do not rotate with
the planet. Limb clouds tend to cluster in the Martian tropical regions, whereas limb hazes or arcs usually extend from pole to pole.

There were frequent morning and evening limb clouds throughout most of the apparition, with some of them being very bright at times. They became particularly prominent during late Northern Spring, around $075^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$, and were still detected during early summer ( $100^{\circ}$ Ls), after which observations became difficult due to Mars' small apparent diameter. A bright evening limb cloud appears on Parker's tricolor CCD images taken from 1995 MAR 28 through APR $05\left(077^{\circ}-081^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}\right)$. It was interesting to watch the Acidalium and Nilokeras albedo features disappear as they rotated under this cloud. A particularly unusual morning cloud was imaged by Parker between 1995 MAY 09 and May 19 ( $096^{\circ}-100^{\circ}$ Ls). This cloud had a "peanut" shape and appeared quite blue in color. Unlike a localized cloud, however, it did not rotate with the planet.

## Localized Clouds, Topographic

Topographic clouds are intense bright patches of limited extent that occur seasonally over certain regions of Mars. Appearing white in integrated light, these features are best viewed and photographed through blue and blue-green filters. Occasionally they become more prominent in green and even yelloworange light, suggesting that they may be combinations of water-ice and dust.

During the 1994-1995 Apparition, bright localized clouds became prominent over Libya, Chryse, and Moab starting in late January, 1995 , around $050^{\circ}$ Ls. These clouds persisted and perhaps increased in size and intensity well into Northern Summer. On 1995 Feb 18 ( $061^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ), Beish reported a localized cloud formation over Xanthe-Memnonia that he called the "Capen wedge-cloud". By late February ( $064^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ), discrete clouds over Xanthe, Amazonis, Argyre I and Arabia-Moab had become very conspicuous. On 1995 FEB 24 $\left(063^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}\right)$ Cameron saw a small bright spot in the Solis Lacus region and clouds over Tharsis. Hellas had some light fog in early March. On 1995 MAR 16 ( $072^{\circ}$ Ls), there were a few very small bright spots in Cebrenia and Utopia.

A specialized type of topographic cloud is the famous "Syrtis Major Blue Cloud." This is a very localized cloud that had been traced for over a century by C.F. Capen. It appears every Martian year around the Northern-Hemisphere Summer Solstice and persists through early Summer. This cloud circulates around the Libya Basin and then crosses over to the Syrtis Major, where it changes the color of this albedo feature to an intense blue. When Syrtis Major is viewed through a yellow filter it turns a vivid green (yellow + blue $=$ green). This cloud was first observed in 1858 by Father Angelo Secchi, who called it the "Blue Scorpion." The cloud was next seen by J. N. Lockyear in 1862 and again in 1911 by members of the BAA. C. W. Tombaugh and Capen observed the cloud early in the Martian North-
ern-Hemisphere Summer during the 1950 Apparition. It was seen regularly in the 1960's and it was most prominent in 1982.
D. Parker and J. Beish first reported the Syrtis Major Blue Cloud on 1995 Jan 28 ( $051^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ), and it was imaged on both morning and evening limbs several times until 1995 APR $20\left(087^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}\right)$. By 1995 MAY 23 ( $102^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) Libya still displayed bright cloud cover with wispy tendrils extending over Syrtis Major, but no coloration was detected. On 1995 MAY $30\left(105^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}\right)$, however, Parker again noted the distinctive coloration. The 1996-97 Apparition will again be favorable for viewing the Syrtis Major Blue Cloud. Since this is one of the most spectacular features on Mars, we hope that observers avail themselves of this opportunity.

## Localized Clouds, Orographic

Orographic clouds, like those that form on Earth, are white discrete clouds that are condensed from the moisture-laden air which is uplifted over a mountain or volcano. They appear bright on Mars when viewed through a blue (W80A) or a dark blue (W47) color filter.

Orographic clouds are discrete white clouds which develop as moisture-laden air is uplifted over the peaks of the great volcanoes in the Martian Tropics. They form when the Martian atmosphere is high in water vapor content, especially in late Spring or early Summer of the Northern Hemisphere. They assemble as local noon approaches, when they are best seen and photographed in blue and violet light. They continue to expand and brighten throughout the the Martian afternoon, and by nightfall are best discerned in violet and ultraviolet wavelengths, suggesting that they are carried further aloft by convection. The most spectacular orographic clouds occur over the volcanoes of the Elysium Shield and the Tharsis Bulge. The latter clouds sometimes appear to coalesce to form the famed "W-cloud," first reported by E.C. Slipher in 1954 [Slipher, 1962]. In 1971 the Mariner-9 spacecraft showed them to be water clouds near the large volcanoes Olympus Mons (longitude $133^{\circ}$, latitude $18^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.), Ascraeus Mons ( $104^{\circ}, 11^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ), Pavonis Mons ( $112^{\circ}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.), and Arsia Mons ( $120^{\circ}, 9^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$.).

The Elysium cloud usually forms first, as was the case in the 1994-95 Apparition. Early in December, 1994 ( $025^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) some brightening over Elysium was reported, and by the month's end ( $039^{\circ}$ Ls) bright orographic clouds were conspicuous over this volcanic shield. This is rather normal for this region, unlike in the 1992-93 Apparition, when the Elysium cloud was very well formed by $028^{\circ}$ Ls. Interestingly, this pattern appears to parallel the behavior of the NPC, which was considerably smaller during the early Northern Spring of the 1992-93 Apparition.

By mid-January, 1995 ( $045^{\circ}$ Ls), orographic clouds were beginning to appear over the Tharsis volcanoes and had become very conspicuous by mid-February ( $057^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ). By 1995 FEB $19\left(061^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}\right)$ these clouds had coa-
lesced to form the W-Cloud. The Tharsis and Elysium orographic clouds persisted and became larger and brighter well into Northern Summer.

## Polar Clouds, Hazes, and Hoods

During Northern Winter a thick mantle of cloud covers the Martian Arctic. Termed the North Polar Hood (NPH), this dull shroud usually breaks up around the time of the Vernal Equinox, revealing the bright pearly-white Spring NPC. It is uncertain whether the NPC is formed from the dissolving hood or was lying fully formed beneath the cloud layer during Northern Winter. Near-infrared CCD images taken by Parker in October, 1992, suggest the former state of affairs. These images, taken during late Northern Winter ( $346^{\circ}$ Ls), reveal established albedo features beneath the NPH with no evidence of the large Spring Cap which appeared a few weeks later when the hood dissipated [Parker and Berry 1993]. Similar evidence was obtained from infrared observations from Tokyo and from the University of Arizona's Catalina Telescope during the period $347^{\circ}-354 \mathrm{Ls}^{\circ}$ [Ebisawa 1995b]. These investigators also imaged the tiny permanent cap remnant beneath the hood, but found no trace of the large spring surface cap that was to emerge only a few weeks later.

During the 1994-95 Apparition the NPH became variable and thin near the Northern Vernal Equinox. Both Whitby and Niechoy reported a large, dull hood between $346-347^{\circ}$ Ls; but, by $354^{\circ}$ Ls (1994 SEP 28), Niechoy detected the cap largely free of the hood. By $356-358^{\circ}$ Ls, Whitby, Warell, and Parker all observed the NPC clear of the NPH, bordered by the dark Lowell's Band. As the NPC retreated, a number of observers spotted polar clouds, especially on the morning side of the cap. These were reported on 1994 DEC 01 ( $025^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ), 1995 FEB 03-06 ( $054^{\circ}-055^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ), and on 1995 MAR $08\left(068^{\circ}\right.$ Ls). J. Beish saw a light haze over the cap, possibly the start of the "aphelic chill" on the 1995 FEB 08. Micrometer and CCD measurements revealed a small but significant regrowth of the NPC around the time of aphelion at $070^{\circ}$ Ls.

## Equatorial Cloud Bands (ECB's)

Perhaps the most interesting formations, however, are the equatorial cloud bands (ECB's). These are faint veils of wispy white clouds with variable shapes and transparencies that extend across the Martian disk within $20^{\circ}$ of the equator. Residing at high altitudes and probably composed of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ice crystals, ECB's are best detected with dark blue (W47 or W47B) filters.

Until recently, cloud bands were thought to be exceedingly rare phenomena that were most likely to occur during the Martian Northern Summer. However, systematic tricolor CCD imaging has uncovered evidence that these wisps of cloud bands may be more frequent and may occur in all Martian seasons.

They were seen and imaged on numerous occasions during the latter half of the 1992-93 Apparition, being much more prominent on CCD images than they were visually or photographically. The ability of the CCD camera to reveal subtle cloud structures that are only 1-2 percent brighter than the background demonstrates the power of electronic image processing. This did create a problem, however, since it was uncertain whether the increase in ECB's during the last apparition was real or merely due to better imaging techniques. We hoped that the 1994-95 Apparition would clarify the matter.

While ECB's were not usually specifically mentioned, they do appear on a few blue-light drawings from a number of observers, including J. Beish, C. Hernandez, D. Lehman, R. McKim, D. Niechoy, R. Schmude, T. Stryk, and S. Whitby. Parker's CCD images again revealed them on several occasions. These delicate features were most prevalent over Tharsis and Amazonis during February and March, 1995. More information on ECB prevalence awaits Beish's statistical analysis.

## Dust Clouds

As expected, no major dust clouds were reported during this apparition; but some very minor dust activity was observed in 1994. Stryk reported a small, transient dust cloud over Argyre on 1994 JUN 05 ( $290^{\circ}$ Ls) [Stryk, 1994]. On 1994 ОСТ 05 ( $358^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ), it appears that there was dust in the atmosphere over Chryse making the area very bright at times in red light. In addition, a small thin dust cloud in Xanthe was first observed by Whitby on 1994 NOV $03\left(012^{\circ}\right.$ Ls). It was again seen on 1994 NOV 13 and 14 by Ted Stryk, who reported some expansion on 1994 NOV 14. Analysis of color-filter observations suggests that there was some dust mixed in the clouds over the Chryse region in March, 1995, and a small dusty spot near Solis Lacus. This admixture of dust with the prevalent water-ice crystal clouds was verified by polarization measurements of Ebisawa [1995], who detected dust present in the Chryse-Xanthe-Tharsis clouds in late January, 1995 ( $050^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ). In addition, a bar-like dust cloud was seen at the same time over Arcadia-Tempe, similar to the more conspicuous one observed in 1982 near $122^{\circ}$ Ls [McKim 1985]. Finally, Ebisawa's polarization data revealed dust mixed with the Elysium shield clouds in mid-January ( $045^{\circ}$ Ls). It should be noted, however, that the phase angle (the Earth-Sun angle as seen from Mars) in mid-January was about $25^{\circ}$. This is where the signs of polarization of both waterice clouds and dust clouds change, making differentiation of cloud types nearly impossible with this method at such times.

## Surface Features

The albedo markings of Mars, as reported during the 1994-95 Apparition, are mapped in Figure 4 (p. 10).

## Region I: Longitude $250^{\circ}-010^{\circ}$

Syrtis Major was dark throughout the apparition, exhibiting little change from 199293. It displayed an average width, with a blunted northern border. Osiridis Promontorium, at the northeast border of Syrtis Major was again prominent. Moeris Lacus, a short streak just south of Osiridis, was again seen jutting into Libya. The Thoth-Nepenthes "canal", so conspicuous from 1954 to 1967, was not seen. The Nodus Laocoontis-Nubis Lacus complex was also absent, as it has been since 1982, although Seigel and Falsarella drew it faintly in March, 1995. Nodus Alcyonius, northeast of Syrtis Major, was extremely dark and elongated NE-SW. To the south, Hellas was bright with cloud or fog both before and after opposition. In February, 1995, however, the floor of this great basin was cloud-free, revealing the "Hellas Cross", consisting of Peneus, Alpheus, and Zea Lacus. West of Syrtis Major, Astaborae Sinus was faintly seen by Cave, Schmude, and Stryk. To the north, Nilosyrtis and Astusapes were faint or absent, although the former was reported after opposition by Cameron, Haas, Teichert, and Niechoy. Boreosyrtis and Umbra were dark. Moving westward, Protonilus, Ismenius Lacus, and Deuteronilus were fairly well defined on both CCD images and drawings from several observers, including Cameron, Fabian, Teichert, and Troiani. Sabaeus Sinus was quite dark, and its eastern end, which had previously faded since 1990, resumed a more normal aspect, as reported by Cave, Lehman, and Cameron. Despite the markedly positive De (areocentric declination of the Earth), Pandorae Fretum was very well seen by most observers. It was very dark and appears to have shifted southward from its usual position. In late January, 1995 ( $052^{\circ}$ Ls), however, Hernandez found only the eastern half of Pandorae Freturn to be dark, with the western portion intermediate in tone. He also detected the dark Vulcani Pelagus projecting from the eastern border of Erythraeum Mare.

Classically, Syrtis Major broadens after Northern Summer Solstice ( $090^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ). No significant changes in its breadth were observed during this apparition, agreeing with HST data [Lee et al. 1995]. This feature demonstrated a high degree of variability during the 1970's and early 1980's, but it has remained fairly stable since the 1984 Apparition.

## Region II: Longitude $010^{\circ}-130^{\circ}$

Margaritifer Sinus and Aurorae Sinus were well defined and of normal intensity Oxia Palus was prominent, as it has been for several years. The delicate filament Cantabras, joining the western fork of Meridiani Sinus with Oxia Palus, was seen on CCD images. At least four small, dark, round features were seen in southern Chryse-Xanthe, including Hydapsis Sinus, Iamunae Sinus, Hydrae Palus, and Clytaemnestrae Lacus. These markings were also conspicuous in the 1992-1993 Apparition. These features do not correspond
well with those on classical maps (it would appear that the areography of the northern Chryse-Xanthe region is somewhat inconsistent). To the west, in Candor, Juventae Fons was again prominent and Ganges was broad but only dusky. McKim reported that Lunae Lacus, so noticeable in the early 1980's, was again faint. Several observers found western Nilokeras to be faint, while at its eastern end it appeared double (Nilokeras I and II). Idaeus Fons and Achillus Fons appeared as two dark nodules west of Niliacus Lacus. Prior to opposition, Niliacus Lacus was darker than Acidalium Mare; but by March, 1995, Crocker and Parker found that it had lightened somewhat.

To the south, Agathadaemon, Melas Lacus., and the Tithonius Lacus. Complex were distinct. Solis Lacus was dark and elongated E-W. It has undergone little change since the 1986 Apparition. Gallinaria Silva was a distinct dark dot west of Solis Lacus. The "canal" Phasis, joining Gallinaria to A0nium Sinus, was not imaged or reported. This variable feature had resumed prominence in May, 1984, darkened in 1986, but had faded during the 1988 Apparition. Then, in 1990, it became very prominent after the early November dust storm [McKim 1992], but was barely perceptible during the 1992-93 Apparition. Acampsis, linking Solis Lacus to Gallinaria was drawn and imaged by several observers, and the unnamed dark streak running west from Gallinaria to near Gomer Sinus was seen and imaged in December and in March. Near opposition it had faded but was still barely perceptible on CCD images. This streak, running along the northern borders of Sirenum Mare and Cimmerium Mare was first detected in August, 1988 by S. O'Meara and D. Parker and has been reported sporadically since. Like Eumenides to the north, this feature seems to be most prominent when Mars' phase angle is greatest, and may thus be linked to shadow effects.

In addition to the numerous clouds in Tharsis, some albedo feature changes were reported. In early December ( $028^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) Teichert recorded a darkening of Ascraeus Lacus. Again, in late March $\left(075^{\circ}\right.$ Ls) Siegel, Warell, Teichert, Lehman found this feature dark, with Cameron and McKim reporting Uranius to be broad and dark. Whitby also observed a darkening in Ceraunius in late January ( $048^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ).

## Region III: Longitude $130^{\circ}-250^{\circ}$

T. Cave and W. Haas reported Laestrygonum Sinus well-detached from Cimmerium Mare in March, when Haas also sighted the canals Cyclops and Cerberus II. The dark patch in eastern Aetheria, prominent since 1977 and termed the Hyblaeus Extension by C. Capen, remained dark during 1994-95.

Cerberus I, forming the southern border of the Elysium Shield, displayed some interesting changes, proving that it is a highly variable feature. In fact, this was one of the features targeted for intensive study by the HST. It was a dark and conspicuous feature through-
out the 1950's and early 1960's, weakening in 1965 and more in 1967, but returning as a thin but dark feature in 1969. It remained conspicuous until the 1975 Apparition, when it reached near invisibility. It recovered somewhat in 1977 and darkened dramatically after opposition in 1980. After the February and May dust storms of 1982, Cerberus faded, a trend that continued after the 1984 dust events. Since 1984, Cerberus has remained faint, although there have been some variations in its intensity.

In 1994-95 Cerberus was fairly dark and conspicuous early in the apparition. However it became fainter by late November and early December, 1994 (023-025 ${ }^{\circ}$ Ls) when Trivi-um-Cerberus appeared on CCD images as a halftone streak with two or three diffuse nuclei. From 1995 JAN 02-12 (040-044 ${ }^{\circ}$ Ls) it had weakened considerably, becoming a faint thin streak with Trivium a small dark dot. During mid-February, 1995 (057-060 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ls}$ ) the complex was barely visible, appearing as only three small dots. Parker's 1995 MAR 18-25 CCD images (both tricolor and red light) showed Cerberus still weak, as just three small dots, especially after local noon. Interestingly, however, several very experienced A.L.P.O. observers independently reported that Cerberus became quite dark and streak-like near 1995 MAR 14. During April the feature darkened considerably as noted on both drawings and CCD images. It maintained that aspect for the remainder of the apparition, but was still not as conspicuous as it had been during the 1970's.

## CONCLUSIONS

Despite the unfavorable aspect that Mars presented during the 1994-1995 Apparition, much useful information was obtained, due largely to the high quality of the observations submitted. Many IMP astronomers systematically observed Mars when it was less than 6 arc-seconds in apparent diameter, thus significantly extending the seasonal coverage. Over 50 percent of the observers, both visual and photographic, reguiarly employed color filters; this is the highest proportion to date, and we hope that the trend continues. In addition, the vast majority of the observations submitted were properly documented as to image orientation, Universal Time and date, central meridian, and so forth. Also, the quality of the reports was, on the average, the highest we have seen. Despite the "high-tech" contributions of CCD imaging, and the use of active optics to assist photography, the visual drawing remains the backbone of Mars observations. Cases in point are Troiani's 1994-95 Mars maps (Figures 4 and 5, p. 10) that were highly praised by a number of professionals at the MTO Workshop. Even though Troiani generated these on a computer, they were produced entirely from the amateur observations submitted to the A.L.P.O. Mars Section. Many thanks to all our observers!
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Table 1. Members of the A.L.P.O. International Mars Patrol for the 1994-1995 Apparition.

| Observer | Location | Observer | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makoto Adachi | Ohtsu City, Japan | Frank Melillo | Holtsville, NY |
| Oscar Arnal | Ontario, Canada | Masatsugu Minami | Fukui, Japan |
| Greg Banialis | Arlington Hts., IL | Patrick Moore | Selsey, UK |
| Jeff Beish | Miami, FL | Yukio Morita | Hatssuka, Japan |
| Phillip Budine | Walton, NY | Mike Morrow | Ewa Beach, HI |
| Gary Cameron | Des Moines, IA | Masami Murakami | Fujisawa, Japan |
| Lawrence Carlino | Lockport, NY | Takashi Nakajima | Fukui, Japan |
| Jesse Carroll | Chicago, IL | Detlev Niechoy | Goettingen, Germany |
| Jim Carroll | Chicago, IL | Gary Nowak | Essex Jct., VT |
| Thomas Cave | Long Beach, CA, M.. Wilson, CA | Yoshio Ohba Don Parker | Yamagata, Japan Coral Gables, FL |
| Vanessa Cave | Long Beach, CA | Cecil Post | Las Cruces, NM |
| John Crocker | Chicago, IL | Phil Plante | Poland, OH |
| Brian Cudnik | Flagstaff, AZ | Terry Platt | Binfield Berks, UK |
| Tom Dobbins | Coshocton, OH | Robert Robinson | Morgantown, WV |
| Darren Drake | Indian Head Pk., IL | Mark Schmidt | Racine, WI |
| Garry Dymond | St. John, Canada | Richard Schmude | Barnesville, GA |
| Karl Fabian | Hickory Hills, IL | Elisabeth Siegel | Agertoften, Denmark |
| Nelson Falsarelia | San Jose, Brazil | Robert Smith | Huntvile, AK |
| Vincent Giovannone | Latham, NY | Chester Speil | Woodstock, GA |
| David Graham | N. Yorkshire, UK | Robert Soltis | La Jolla, CA |
| David Gray | County Durham, UK | Ted Stry | Bristol, VA |
| Bob Gunnerson | Loveland, CO | Gérard Teichert | Hattstatt, France |
| Walter Haas | Las Cruces, NM | Greg Terrance | Lima, NY |
| David Hanon | Chattanooga, TN | Chris Tobias | Racine, WI |
| Carlos Hernandez | Miami, FL | Daniel Troiani | Schaumberg, IL |
| Richard Hill | Tucson, AZ | Robert Young | Harrisburg, PA |
| Hiroshi Ishado | Okinawa, Japan | Johan Warell | Uppsala, Sweden |
| Tohru Iwasaki | Morodomi, Japan | John Westfall | San Francisco, CA |
| Chuck Jacobson | Puyallup, WA | Samuel Whitby | Hopewell, VA |
| Daniel Joyce | Chicago, IL | Mathew Will | Springfield, iL |
| David Lehman | Pinedale, CA | Pat Winemiller | Terre Haute, IN |
| Michael Mattei | Littleton, MA | Gene Witkowski | Buffalo, NY |
| Richard McKim | Oundle, UK | Mike Zweifel | Yorkville, W] |

Table 2. North Polar Cap Residual Features ("Bright Projections," "Outliers").

| Observer(s) | Feature Longitudes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Years lerne Lemuria Cecropia |  |  |  |
| Schiaparelli | 1879-88 | $121^{\circ}$ | $208{ }^{\circ}$ | $310^{\circ}$ |
| Lowell | 1901-05 | 122 | 206 | 311 |
| Antoniadi | 1903-29 | 122 | 208 | 309 |
| Maggini | 1918-35 | 136 | 213 | 278 |
| de Mottoni | 1941-52 | 137 | 200 | 297 |
| Dollfus | 1946-52 | 142 | 227 | 292 |
| Capen | 1962-68 | 140 | 196 | 290 |
| Beish, Parker, Capen, and Dragesco | 1981.82 | 132 | 205 | 280 |
| Beish, Parker, Hernandez, and Dragesco | 1983-85 | 142 | $\underline{205}$ | 294 |
|  | Mean | $133^{\circ}$ | $208^{\circ}$ | $294{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Range | $\begin{aligned} & 121^{\circ} \\ & 142^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 196^{\circ}- \\ & 227^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 278^{\circ} \\ & 311^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stand | rd Error | $\pm 2^{\circ} .9$ | $\pm 2^{\circ} .9$ | $\pm 4^{\circ} .4$ |



Figure 4. Cylindrical equidistant projection map of Martian albedo features in 1994-95. Prepared by Dan Troiani, Jim Carroll, and Dan Joyce using International Mars Patrol drawings, photographs, and video and CCD images. Martian south is at the top and east to the right. The right and left margins are at longitude $000^{\circ}$ and the Martian poles are at the top and bottom margins.


Figure 5. Map showing major Martian clouds reported by International Mars Patrol observers during the 1994-95 Apparition. The map shown in Figure 4 (top) has been used as a base.

## Table 3. Captions for Observations 1-56 (on Figures 6-8 on pp. 13-15).

(Abbreviations: Newt. $=$ Newtonian, Refr. $=$ refractor, SCT = Schmidt-Cassegrain; $\mathrm{B}=$ blue, $\mathrm{G}=$ green, $\mathrm{M}=$ magenta, $\mathrm{O}=$ Orange, $\mathrm{R}=$ red, $\mathrm{Y}=$ yellow; lt = light)

1. 1994 Jun $07,09: 55$ UT, CM: $127^{\circ}$, Ls: $290^{\circ}, 10-$ in $(25-\mathrm{cm})$ Newt. Filter: None. Ted Stryk. First observation of the apparition showing prominent southern maria. Areocentric Declination of Earth: $-17^{\circ}$. Diameter only 4.45 arc-sec.
2. 1994 Nov 02, $08: 15$ UT, CM: $106^{\circ}$, Ls: $012^{\circ}, 16$ in ( $41-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filter: W47 (B). Don Parker. Prominent evening limb cloud with terminator projection; bright morning limb haze.
3. 1994 NOV 03, $10: 45$ UT, CM: $133^{\circ}$, Ls: $012^{\circ}, 7$ in. $(17.8-\mathrm{cm})$ Refr. Filter: W21 (O). Samuel Whitby. N . Polar collar dark in orange light; morning limb haze.
4. 1994 Dec 01, $08: 31$ UT, CM: $194^{\circ}$, Ls: $025^{\circ}$, 16 -in ( $41-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Don Parker. From tricolor CCD image showing dark streak along northern border of Cimmerium Mare Hyblaeus extension prominent; Trivium-Cerberus haltone. Lynxx PC camera.
5. 1994 Dec 26 , 06:40 UT, CM: $294^{\circ}$, Ls: $037^{\circ}$, 17.5-in (44-cm) Newt. Filter: W25 (R). Dan Troiani. Rima notch at edge of NPC , bright spot in Hellas.
6. 1994 Dec 30, 09:20 UT, Cm: $296^{\circ}$, Ls: $039^{\circ}$, $14.25-\mathrm{in}(36-\mathrm{cm})$ Newt. Gene Witkowski. From video image showing faint Rima Tenuis.

Table 3-Continued.
7. 1995 JAN 01, 07:30 UT, CM: $251^{\circ}$ Ls: $039^{\circ}$, 8 -in ( $20-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filter: W30 (M). Carlos Hernandez. Elysium cloud on evening limb. Hyblaeus extension, N. Alcyonius, and Cerberus prominent.
8. 1995 JAN 06, 08:40 UT, CM: $223^{\circ}$, Ls: $042^{\circ}$, 12.8-in (32.5-cm) Newt. Filters: R, O, B. Thomas Cave. Trivium-Cerberus still dark but thin.
9. 1995 JAN 10, 05:45 UT, CM: $144^{\circ}$, Ls: 043,$~ 8-i n ~$ ( $20-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filter: W30 (M). Carlos Hernandez. Tractus Albus noted over Tempe-Tharsis. Propontis complex prominent.
10. 1995 JAN 10, 05:55 UT, CM: $146^{\circ}$, Ls: $043^{\circ}$, 8in ( $20-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filter: W38A (B). Carlos Hernandez. Equatorial Cloud Band (ECB) over Mem-nonia-Tharsis. Refer to Observation 9.
11. 1995 JAN 20, 06:00 UT CM: $058^{\circ}$, Ls: $048^{\circ}$, 4.25-in (11-cm) Schieispiegler. Filters: W23A (It R), 80A (It B). Gary Cameron. Chryse-Xanthe bright. Lunae Lacus dusky, not discrete.
12. 1995 JAN 21, $00: 25$ UT, CM: $328^{\circ}$, Ls: $048^{\circ}$, 15-in (38-cm) Newt. Filters: None. Patrick Moore. Hellas light; Pandorae Fretum noted.
13. 1995 JAN 26, 09:10 UT, CM: $052^{\circ}$, Ls: $051^{\circ}, 8-$ in ( $20-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filters: None. Robert Smith. idaeus Fons dark; Lunae Lacus not noted.
14. 1995 JAN 27, 09:10 UT, CM: $043^{\circ}$, Ls: $051^{\circ}$, 10-in (25-cm) Newt. Filters: None. Daniel Joyce. Rima Tenuis visible. Again, Lunae Lacus not noted.
15. 1995, JAN 28, 02:45 UT, CM: $300^{\circ}$, Ls: $051^{\circ}$, 8in (20-cm) Newt. Filters: R, O, G, B. Nelson Falsarella. Sabaeus Sinus dark along its length; Pandorae Fretum prominent.
16. 1995 JAN $29,05: 30$ UT, CM: $332^{\circ}$, Ls: $052^{\circ}$, 17.5-in (44-cm) Newt. Filter: None. Dan Troiani. Rima Tenuis prominent.
17. 1995 FEB 02, $23: 26$ UT, CM: $199^{\circ}$, Ls: $054^{\circ}$, $12.5-\mathrm{in}(32-\mathrm{cm})$ Tri-schiefspiegler. From color CCD camera (Starlight Xpress). Terry Platt. Orographic clouds Arcadia-Tharsis on evening limb. Propontis complex prominent.
18. 1995 FEB 02, 07:20 UT, CMi: 324 ${ }^{\circ}$, Ls: $054^{\circ}$, 14-in (36-cm) Newt. Filters: W25 (R). Richard Hill. Numerous canals, including Astaboras, and Hiddekel noted.
19. 1995 FEB 03, 05:35 UT, CM: $289^{\circ}$, Ls: $054^{\circ}$, 16-in ( $41-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filters: Tricolor CCD image. Lynxx PC camera. Don Parker. Hellas Cross noted. Boreosyrtis prominent.
20. 1995 Feb 05, 05:05 UT, CM: $265^{\circ}$, Ls: $055^{\circ}$, 11-in (28-cm) SCT. Filters: R,O,G.B. Gérard Teichert. Casius dark on CM; Nasamon seen extending from northeastern Syrtis Major. Bright cloud over Aethiopis.
21. 1995 FEB 06, 03:50 UT, CM: $238^{\circ}$, Ls: $055^{\circ}$, 10-in (25-cm) Newt. Filter: W23A (It R). John Crocker. Hyblaeus Extension dark, broad; TriviumCerberus very weak.
22. 1995 Feb 07, 03:45 UT, CM: $228^{\circ}$, Ls: $056^{\circ}$, 10-in (25-cm) Newt. Filters: R, G, B, Y. Ted Stryk. Detail of NPC outliers, Rima Borealis.
23. 1995 FEB 02, 02:59 UT, CM: $260^{\circ}$, Ls: $053^{\circ}$, 16-in (41-cm) Newt. Greg Terrance. Filters: None. Lynxx PC CCD image showing Hyblaeus extension broad and dusky. Cerberus very weak on evening limb.
24. 1995 FEB 11, 06:37 UT, CM: $235^{\circ}$, Ls: $058^{\circ}$, 16-in ( $41-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filters: Tricolor CCD image. Lynxx PC camera. Don Parker. Trivium-Cerberus now very weak.
25. 1995 FEB 12, 06:45 UT, CM: $228^{\circ}$, Ls: $058^{\circ}$. 14-in ( $36-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filter: W23A (It R). Richard Hill. Trivium-Cerberus more prominent.
26. 1995 FEB 18, 03:03 UT, CM: $122^{\circ}$, Ls: $061^{\circ}$, 16-in (41-cm) Newt. Tricolor CCD image. Lynxx PC camera. Don Parker. Prominent orographic clouds over Tharsis.
27. 1995 Feb 20, 04:20 UT, CM: $123^{\circ}$, Ls: $062^{\circ}$, 10-in ( $25-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filter: W25 (R), W38A (B). David Lehman. Clouds over Tharsis. Possible polar cloud on CM (lerne region).
28. 1995 FEB 22, $19: 47$ UT, CM: $332^{\circ}$, Ls: $063^{\circ}$, 11 -in (28-cm) SCT. Filters: R, O, Y, G, B. Gérard Teichert. Sabaeus Sinus dark throughout its length; Pandorae Fretum strong, broad.
29. 1995 FEB 22, 03:40 UT, CM: 096 ${ }^{\circ}$, Ls: $062^{\circ}$, 12.8-in (32.5-cm) Newt. Filters: R, O, B. Thomas Cave. Clouds over Xanthe, Arcadia, Tempe, and near Olympus Mons. Lunae Lacus weak.
30. 1995 FEb 26, 01:40 UT, CM: 031 ${ }^{\circ}$, Ls: $064^{\circ}$, 4.25-in (11-cm) Schiefspiegler. Filters: W23A (It R), 80A (lt B). Gary Cameron. Clouds over Candor on morning limb; clouds over Chryse, ArabiaMoab, and south limb. Lunae Lacus weak.
31. 1995 FEB 26, 04:30 UT, CM: $073^{\circ}$, Ls: $064^{\circ}$, 14-in ( $36-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filters: W25 (R). Richard Hill. Lunae Lacus very weak; Ganges prominent. Acidalius Fons dark at $S$. border of NPC with Issedon joining it to Nilokeras. Lunae Lacus not discrete. Blue-light observation reveals morning and evening limb clouds.
32. 1995 FES 28, 02:48 UT, CM: $030^{\circ}$, Ls: $065^{\circ}$, 16-in (41-cm) Newt. Don Parker. From tricolor CCD image. Lynxx PC camera. Bright cloud on morning limb over western Tempe. Hazes across Chryse and Moab. Nuclei in Idaeus Fretum. Lunae Lacus weak.
33. 1995 MAR 01, $01: 58$ UT, CM: $009^{\circ}$, Ls: $065^{\circ}$, 7 -in ( $18-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Refr. ST- 5 camera CCD image. David Hanon. Achillis Pons light. Ismenius Lacus, Deuteronilus, Dirce Fretum, and Gehon detected!

## Table 3-Continued.

34. 1995 MAR 04, $21: 00$ UT CM: $261^{\circ}$, Ls: $067^{\circ}, 8$ in $(20-\mathrm{cm})$ SCT. Filters: None. Report with W25 (R), W15 (deep Y), W58 (G), W80A (It B), W47 (B). Elisabeth Siegel. Hyblaeus Extension prominent. Aeria, Ausonia, and evening limb brightest with W80A.
35. 1995 MAR 04, 00:40 UT, CM: $324^{\circ}$, Ls: $067^{\circ}$, 6 -in ( $15-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Refr. Filter: W23A (It R). Lawrence Carlino. Aeria bright (see observation No. 34); Sabaeus Sinus and Hellespontus dark.
36. 1995 Mar 11, 01:45 UT, CM: $277^{\circ}$, Ls: $070^{\circ}$, 7 -in ( $18-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filters: W25 (R), 23A (It R), Gary Cameron. Rima Tenuis noted. Hellas bright in red and blue light. Clouds reported over Moab, Aethiopis, and Elysium.
37. 1995 MAR 13, $03: 00$ UT, CM: $278^{\circ}$, Ls: $071^{\circ}$, $100-\mathrm{in}(2.5-\mathrm{M}) \mathrm{Mt}$. Wilson Coudé focus. Filters: R, M. Thomas Cave. Bright evening limb cloud (Elysium). Numerous small white areas in desert regions. Edge of NPC scalloped.
38. 1995 MAR 14, 02:25 UT, CM: $260^{\circ}$, Ls: $071^{\circ}$, 6 -in ( $15-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Refr. Filters: W25 (R), 12 (Y), 80A (lt B), 38A (B). Phillip Budine. Nilosyrtis prominent. Cerberus darkening.
39. 1995 MAR 14, 01:55 UT, CM: $253^{\circ}$, Ls: $071^{\circ}$, 6 -in ( $15-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Refr. Filter: Y8, Phil Plante. Aeria very bright on morning limb; Trivium-Cerberus reported as dusky.
40. 1995 MAR 14, 03:00 UT, CM: $269^{\circ}$, Ls: $071^{\circ}$, 12.8 -in ( $32.5-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filters: R, O. Vanessa Cave. Trivium-Cerberus darkened. Albor bright in NE Elysium.
41. 1995 MAR 21, $20: 00$ UT, CM: $094^{\circ}$, Ls: $074^{\circ}$, 8 -in $(20-\mathrm{cm})$ SCT. Filters: None for drawing. Report with W25 (R), 15 (deep Y), 58 (G), 80A (t B), 47 (B). Elisabeth Siegel. Ascraeus Lacus and Ceraunius prominent near CM .
42. 1995 MAR 21, 04:45 UT, CM: $231^{\circ}$, Ls: $074^{\circ}$, $12.5-\mathrm{in}(32-\mathrm{cm})$ Newt. Filter: W25 (R). Walter Haas. NPC peanut-shaped. Cerberus II extending between Pambotis Lacus and Gomer Sinus. Symplegades Insula bright.
43. 1995 Mar 22, $22: 32$ UT, CM: $122^{\circ}$, Ls: $075^{\circ}$, $12.5-\mathrm{in}(32-\mathrm{cm})$ Trischiefspiegler. From color CCD camera (Starlight Xpress). Terry Platt. Numerous orographic clouds over Tharsis.
44. 1995 MAR 23, 03:55 UT, CM: 2010, Ls: $075^{\circ}$, 16 -in ( $41-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Tricolor CCD image. Lynxx PC camera. Don Parker. Trivium-Cerberus strengthening. Tharsis orographic clouds on evening limb.
45. 1995 MAR 31, 01:28 UT, CM: $092^{\circ}$, Ls: $078^{\circ}$, 16 -in ( $41-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Tricolor CCD image. Lynxx PC camera. Don Parker. Bright cloud on morning limb over Memnonia. Cloud wisps over Tharsis.
46. 1995 Mar 31, 01:15 UT CM: $089^{\circ}$, Ls: $078^{\circ}$, 16 -in ( $41-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filters: W47 (B), 47B (deep B). Jeff Beish. Band cloud and numerous discrete clouds over Tharsis, Xanthe.
47. 1995 Apr 09, 20:05 UT CM: $281^{\circ}$, Ls: $083^{\circ}$, $6.3-\mathrm{in}(16-\mathrm{cm})$ Refr. Filters: W21 (O). Johan Warell. evening limb haze. Hellas bright. Pambotis Lacus noted adjacent to bright evening limb.
48. 1995 APR 12, 20:00 UT, CM: $252^{\circ}$, Ls: 084 $8.5-\mathrm{in}(21.6-\mathrm{cm})$ Newt. Filters: None. Richard McKim. Trivium-Cerberus darkened. Brightening over Libya-Isidis Regio-Neith Regio-Aeria (Blue Syrtis Major Cloud?). Evening limb bright.
49. 1995 Apr 13, $20: 40$ UT, CM: $252^{\circ}$, Ls: $084^{\circ}$, 16-in ( $41-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Dall-Kirkham. Filters: Integrated light, W15 (deep Y). David Gray. Cerberus I and II visible. Elysium complex well-defined.
50. 1995 APR 28, $21: 10$ UT, CM: $119^{\circ}$, Ls: $091^{\circ}$, 16-in ( $42-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Dall-Kirkham. Filters: Integrated light, W15 (deep Y). David Gray. Tharsis features noted: Nodus Gordii, Eumenides, Ascraeus Lacus, Ascuris Lacus, and dusky bands.
51. 1995 MAY 13 21:20 UT, CM: $339^{\circ}$, Ls: $098^{\circ}$, $16-\mathrm{in}(42-\mathrm{cm})$ Dall-Kirkham. Filters: Integrated light, W15 (deep Y). David Gray. Hellas, Chryse bright; Protonilus, Ismenius Lacus, Deuteronilus, Hiddekel, and Gehon noted.
52. 1995 MAY $30,02: 05$ UT, CM: $255^{\circ}$, Ls: $105^{\circ}$, $16-\mathrm{in}(41-\mathrm{cm})$ Newt. Filter: W47 (B). Don Parker. ECB over Aethiopis-Isidis. Bright limb clouds. Syrtis Major covered with the Blue Syrtis Major Cloud.

## NPC Outliers:

53. 1995 FEB 18, 03:15 UT, CM: $124^{\circ}$, Ls: $061^{\circ}$, $16-\mathrm{in}(41-\mathrm{cm})$ Newt. Filters: Red light (Schott RG610) CCD image. Lynxx PC camera. Don Parker. Outliers lerne and Lemuria south of NPC, separated from it by the Chasma Borealis.
54. 1995 Fee 18, 01:50 UT, CM: $104^{\circ}$, Ls: $061^{\circ}$, 16-in ( $41-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Newt. Filters: W25 (R), W22 (deep O). Don Parker. lerne west of the NPC; small outlier glimpsed on evening limb.
55. 1995 Feb 25, 02:33 UT, CM: $053^{\circ}$, Ls: $064^{\circ}$, 16 -in $(41-\mathrm{cm})$ Newt. Filters: Red light (Schott RG610) CCD image. Lynxx PC camera. lerne west of NPC. Small outlier in northern Ortygia east of NPC.
56. 1995 Mar 23, 01:56 UT, CM: $172^{\circ}$, Ls: $075^{\circ}$, 16 -in $(41-\mathrm{cm})$ Newt. Filters: Red light (Schott RG610) CCD image. Lynxx PC camera. Lemuria southwest of NPC.

Figures 6, 7, and 8 appear on pages 13, 14, and
15; and contain Observations number 1-20, 21-40, and 41-56, respectively.


Figure 6. Drawings and video and CDD images of Mars; Observations 1-20 (1994 Jun 07-1995 FEb 05). The captions for these observations are given on pp, 10-11.


Figure 7. Drawings and CDD images of Mars; Observations 21-40 (1995 Fee 06-1995 MAR 14). The captions for these observations are given on pp. 11-12.


Figure 8. Drawings and CDD images of Mars; Observations 41-56 (1995 Mar 21-MAY 30; Observations $53-56$ show NPC Outliers, 1995 Feb 18-MAR 23). The captions for these observations are given on p. 12.

# Galilean Satellite Eclipse Timings: The 1991/92 Apparition 

By: John E. Westfall, A.L.P.O. Assistant Jupiter Recorder, Eclipse Timings


#### Abstract

The A.L.P.O. Jupiter Section received 855 visual timings of the eclipses of Jupiter's four Galilean satellites from 104 observers for the 1991/92 Apparition. For each satellite, eclipse visual disappearance and reappearance timings were adjusted for telescope aperture and were then combined for comparison with the Jet Propulsion Laboratory's "E-2" Ephemeris. The observed positions of Europa, Ganymede, and Callisto fitted the ephemeris well. Io appeared to be about 8 seconds "late" in its orbit; a statistically significant difference.


## INTRODUCTION

The 1991/92 Apparition of Jupiter was the sixteenth studied by the A.L.P.O. Jupiter Section's Galilean Satellite Eclipse Timing Program. This was our second-most successful apparition, with 855 visual timings received. The satellites timed were Io (1), Europa (2), Ganymede (3); and Callisto (4). Visual observers timed the "first speck" visible when the satellite reappeared from Jupiter's shadow (reappearance), or the "last speck" seen when the satellite disappeared into the shadow (disappearance). Reports for previous apparitions are listed under "References" (p. 21). [Westfall 1983-84, 1986a, 1986b, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1991, 1992, and 1994]

Table 1 (below) lists some significant dates for the 1991/92 Jupiter Apparition.

## Table 1. 1991/92 Jupiter Apparition Chronology.

| Conjunction with the Sun |  | 1991 | AUG | 17 | 22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| First Eclipse Timing | 1991 | SEP | 19 | 05 |  |
| Opposition to the Sun | 1992 | FEB | 29 | 00 |  |
| Closest Approach to Earth | 1992 | FEB | 29 | 03 |  |
| Last Eclipse Timing | 1992 | AUG | 11 | 07 |  |
| Conjunction with the Sun | 1992 | SEP | 17 | 19 |  |

An apparition is the period between successive conjunctions, while an observing season covers the period of actual observation. The observing season began 33 days after conjunction, with Jupiter $24^{\circ}$ west of the Sun; it ended 37 days before the next conjunction, at solar elongation $28^{\circ}$ east. The jovicentric declination of the Sun was such that all four Galilean satellites underwent eclipses throughout the apparition.

At closest approach, Jupiter's distance from the Earth was 4.4118 AU [astronomical units; $1 \mathrm{AU}=149,597,870 \mathrm{~km}$ ], with an equatorial diameter of $44^{\prime \prime} .64$, and a visual magnitude of -2.45. Its geocentric declination at opposition was $+9^{\circ} .2$, so that observers in the Earth's Northern Hemisphere continued to be favored in this apparition, although not so favorably as in the previous three.

## ObSERVATIONS

The timings received for $1990 / 91$ bring our sixteen-apparition total to 6979 visual timings. Slightly over half the timings were submitted to the A.L.P.O. directly, or by a coordinating individual ( $438 ; 51.2$ percent of the total of 855 ). We were fortunate to also receive 304 timings ( 35.6 percent) by 21 New Zealand and Australian observers coordinated by Brian Loader of the Royal Astronomical Society of New Zealand and 113 timings (13.2 percent) by 17 Spanish observers from the Agrupación Astronòmica de Sabadel. All in all, 104 individuals or teams made observations. The timings themselves are listed in Table 9, followed by the list of observers (pp. 22-27). We wish here to single out those observers for the 1991/92 Apparition who have contributed observations for at least five apparitions. Table 2 (below) gives their names, nations and number of apparitions.

Table 2. Long-Term Participating Observers, Galilean Satelite Eclipse Timing Program.

William Abrahams (Australia, 7)
Colin Bembrick (Australia, 6)
J.L. Blanksby (Australia, 5)

Henk Bulder (Netherlands, 8) Roberto Di Luca (Italy, 5) Januário Fernandes (Portugal, 5) Joaquim Garcia (Portugal, 5) Rui Gonçalves (Portugal, 5) Walter Haas (United States, 8) Robert Hays (United States, 6) Alfred Kruijshoop (Australia, 6) Brian Loader (New Zealand, 11) Malcolm MacDonald (New Zealand, 5) Craig MacDougal (United States, 7) Juan Grados Moreno (Spain, 6) Jens Østergaard Olesen (Denmark, 5) R. Parmentier (United States, 5) John Priestly (New Zealand, 8) Dennis Rowley (United States, 5) Benita Ruiz Ruiz (Spain, 5) Charlie Smith (Australia, 5) G. Smith (Australia; 5) Joaquim Vidal (Spain, 5)
Colin Ward (Australia, 5) John Westfall (United States, 15)

Timings for the 1991/92 Apparition were made by observers in 17 countries, as shown in Table 3 (below), giving us wide geographical distribution. We welcome the increased participation of Chinese observers. However, there remain longitude gaps in our coverage, such as most of the Pacific Basin and Asia. The number of American observers has increased but Australia still holds first place.

Table 3. Nationalities of Observers and Observations, 1991/92 Apparition.

| Nation of Residence | Number of Observers | Numb <br> Obser | ber of vations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australia | 17 (16\%) | 248 | (29\%) |
| Spain | 16 (15\%) | 63 | (7\%) |
| United States | 14 (13\%) | 82 | (10\%) |
| Italy | 14 (13\%) | 60 | (7\%) |
| P. R. of China | 11 (11\%) | 81 | (9\%) |
| Portugal | 6 (6\%) | 66 | (8\%) |
| Hungary | 5 (5\%) | 13 | (2\%) |
| Brazil | 4 (4\%) | 70 | (8\%) |
| New Zealand | 4 (4\%) | 56 | (7\%) |
| Germany | 3 (3\%) | 49 | (6\%) |
| Denmark | 3 (2\%) | 22 | (3\%) |
| Argentina | 2 (2\%) | 5 | (0.6\%) |
| Poland | 1 (1\%) | 27 | ( $3 \%$ ) |
| The Netherlands | 1 (1\%) | 5 | (0.6\%) |
| Israel | 1 (1\%) | 4 | (0.5\%) |
| Sweden | 1 (1\%) | 1 | (0.1\%) |
| Austria | 1 (1\%) | 1 | (0.1\%) |

Table 4 (below) gives summary statistics for the timings in terms of the satellite and the type of event. Note that the "Number of Events Total" refers to the number of events that occurred during the observing season.

Table 4. Summary Statistics By Event Type, 1991/92 Apparition.
( 1 = lo; 2 = Europa; 3 = Ganymede; 4 = Callisto;
D = Disappearance; $R=$ Reappearance)
Event Number of Number of Events*

| Type | Timings | Total |  | med |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 D | 159 | 93 | 53 | (57\%) |
| 1R | 254 | 94 | 65 | (69\%) |
| 1 | 413 | 187 | 118 | (63\%) |
| 2D | 74 | 46 | 26 | (57\%) |
| 2R | 130 | 47 | 34 | (72\%) |
| 2 | 204 | 93 | 60 | (65\%) |
| 3D | 72 | 38 | 26 | (68\%) |
| 3R | 91 | 37 | 24 | (65\%) |
| 3 | 163 | 75 | 50 | (67\%) |
| 4 D | 46 | 19 | 12 | (63\%) |
| 4R | $\underline{29}$ | 19 | 11 | (58\%) |
| 4 | 75 | 38 | 23 | (61\%) |
| D | 351 | 196 | 117 | (60\%) |
| R | 504 | 197 | 134 | (68\%) |
| Total | 855 | 393 | 251 | (64\%) |

As always, the closer a satellite is to Jupiter, the greater the number of timings made of its eclipses, chiefly because the frequency of satellite eclipses decreases outwards from Jupiter. Reappearances comprised the majority of timings for all satellites but Callisto. About two-thirds of the eclipses that occurred for all four satellites were actually timed.

As is usual, the number of timings varied considerably from month to month, as shown in Table 5 (below) and Figure I (p. 18).

Table 5. Number of Timings by Month, 1991/92 Apparition.
(Solar elongation range in parentheses; restricted to observing season)

| 1991 | Sep | $\left(024-033^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$ | 4 | Timings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OCT | (033-058 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) | 16 |  |
|  | Nov | (059-084 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) | 31 |  |
|  | Dec | (085-114 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) | 62 |  |
| 1992 | JAN | (115-147 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) | 89 |  |
|  | Feb | (147-179 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) | 132 |  |
|  | MAR | (178-145 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) | 137 |  |
|  | APR | (144-115 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ | 126 |  |
|  | MAY | $\left(114-086^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ | 140 |  |
|  | Jun | (085-061 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) | 92 |  |
|  | JUL | (060-037 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) | 23 |  |
|  | AUG | (036-028 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) | 3 |  |
| Before Opposition |  |  | 323 | (37.8\%) |
| After Opposition |  |  | 532 | (62.2 \%) |

The most intense observing was during the four months including and after opposition, when Jupiter was easily viewed in the evening sky. We have an ongoing bias toward post-opposition timings; observers should make more pre-opposition timings in the future, even though this means observing after midnight.

The one remaining significant factor in the observations was the size of telescope used. Most observers used a single telescope, but a few used two or three instruments. The 137 telescopes used are tallied by aperture in Table 6 (below); apertures within a few millimeters of each other have been grouped together.

Table 6. Number of Telescopes Used, By Aperture, 1991/92 Apparition.

| Aper. $(\mathrm{cm})$ | No.Tele. | Aper. (cm) |  | No. Tele. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Ap |  | 17.0 | 1 |
| 5.5 | 1 | 18.0 | 1 |  |
| $6.0-6.3$ | 12 | $20.0-20.5$ | 33 |  |
| 7.0 | 1 | 21.0 | 3 |  |
| 7.5 | 3 | 21.6 | 1 |  |
| 8.0 | 9 | $25.0-25.4$ | 6 |  |
| 9.0 | 2 | 26.0 | 1 |  |
| $10.0-10.2$ | 12 | 30.0 | 2 |  |
| $11.0-11.5$ | 11 | $31.75-32.0$ | 2 |  |
| 12.0 | 3 | 35.0 | 1 |  |
| 12.7 | 1 | 40.0 | 2 |  |
| 13.5 | 1 | 41.0 | 2 |  |
| 14.0 | 3 | 45.0 | 1 |  |
| 15.0 | 14 | 50.0 | 1 |  |
| 16.0 | 1 | 65.0 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 75.0 | 1 |  |



Figure 1. Histogram of the number of eclipse timings by type and month for the 1991/92 Apparition of Jupiter, where: $1=10,2=$ Europa, $3=$ Ganymede, $4=$ Callisto, $\mathrm{D}=$ Disappearance, and $\mathrm{R}=$ Reappearance. The bars at the bottom show when eclipse events of each type occurred.

The most popular aperture continues to be 20 cm , with the median somewhat less; 15.0 cm . Thirteen small telescopes, 5.0 to 6.3 cm in aperture, were used, comprising 9 percent of the instruments. The twelve fairly large telescopes, 30 cm or larger in aperture, constituted 9 per cent of those used. The range of apertures continues to be large; from 5.0 to 75 cm , showing that almost any size of telescope can be used in our program.

## Reduction

The first step in reduction was to segregate the timings by satellite and by the type of
event; disappearance versus reappearance. Observations were compared with the predictions of the "E-2" Ephemeris developed by Dr. Jay H. Lieske of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory. [Lieske, 1981] The predicted time of each event was then subtracted from the observed time; a positive residual meant that an event was "late"; a negative residual, that it was "early." These residuals are given in the right-hand column in Table 9. The next step was to correct for aperture with a linear regression model in which the dependent variable was the residual ( $\mathbf{y}$ ) and the independent variable was the reciprocal of the telescope aperture, measured in centimeters (x). The
form of the model is：

## （1）

$y=A+B x$,
where $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the regression coefficients． The final residual for each satellite is equal to the mean of its disappearance and reappear－ ance regression models＇predictions of the re－ sidual for an＂infinite＂aperture（i．e．，with the reciprocal of the aperture equal to zero）．

Two statistics describe how well equation （1）fits the observed residuals．One，the stan－ dard error（S．E．），measures how different the average residual was from that predicted．The other，$R$－Square，measures what proportion of the variance（squared differences among the residuals）is removed by equation（1）．

A few timings were not used because they differed by many minutes from the predictions and could not be reconciled．A number of tim－ ings were rejected because of differences from the regression model that were significant at the 5 －percent level（i．e．，would occur due to chance less than 5 percent of the time）as mea－ sured in terms of the standard error（given in Table 7）．For the 1991／92 Apparition， 91 tim－ ings（ 10.6 percent）were rejected，and are shown by italicized residuals in Table 9.

As a check of the method described above， the writer estimated the diameter of each satel－ lite by taking the differences between its pre－ dicted disappearance and reappearance residu－ als，which should give the amount of time it took Jupiter＇s shadow edge to cross the satel－ lite＇s disk．Then，taking into account each satellite＇s velocity and average angle of entry or exit from the shadow，the diameter in kilo－ meters was calculated and is shown in Table 7 （p．20）．

The method of analysis is described in more detail in our 1975－82 report［Westfall， 1983－84］，and the criteria for the rejection of timings are in the report for 1985／86 ［Westfall，1987］．

## LONG－TERM RESULTS

The orbital residuals for Io，Europa， Ganymede，and Callisto for the fifteen appari－ tions from 1976／77 through 1991／92 are graphed in Figure 2 （below；there were too few timings made in the 1975／76 apparition to determine its orbital deviations）．In that figure， the error bars represent a $\pm 1$ standard－error range，and a deviation from the ephemeris sig－ nificant at the 5 －percent level would have to be at least about $\pm 2$ standard errors．

The diagram shows that the widths of the error bars have tended to diminish over time， chiefly due to the greater accuracy caused by an increasing number of timings submitted to the program There are hints of cyclical varia－ tions for some of the satellites，particularly for Europa and Ganymede，perhaps in a 12 －year cycle associated with Jupiter＇s orbital period． We hope that we will receive sufficient tim－ ings for enough future apparitions to investi－ gate this question

## 1991／92 RESULTS

Details for the 1991／92 Apparition follow in Table 7 （ p .20 ）．This table gives results for each of the four Galilean satellites in a sepa－ rate column．Each column is divided into four parts，＂Disappearance，＂＂Reappearance，＂＂Or－ bital Residual，＂and＂Diameter．＂For both dis－ appearances and reappearances，the number of timings is given first，followed in parentheses by the number finally used in the regression analysis after aberrant timings had been delet－ ed．The next item is the mean residual for the timings that were retained，followed by the co－ efficient of variation（＂R－squared＂），which is the proportion of the variance among the tim－ ings that is explained by the aperture model．

| Apparition | 10 | Europa | Ganymede | Callisto |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976／77 | \％ | $1 \pm$ | WWIm |  |  |  |
| 1977／78 | 4 | 䜌 | ，${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| 1978／79 | 5 | 1 ${ }^{\text {ax }}$ |  | \％ | W |  |
| 1979／80 | 41\％ | WFs\％ | M\％5x mex | 2 |  |  |
| 1980／81 |  | esesex |  |  |  |  |
| 1981／82 | 183 | ）䊼 |  |  |  |  |
| 1982／83 | 6x | $18$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1983／85 | ／ | $18$ |  |  | Wermex |  |
| 1985／86 | 䌊 |  |  | WWerm | W3 |  |
| 1986／87 | N | 8 | 1－3／8 | 13：38 |  |  |
| 1987／88 | \％ | \％ | Whay |  |  |  |
| 1988／89 | W | 8 | ＋3s |  |  |  |
| 1989／90 | 8 | W |  |  | WWEW |  |
| 1990／91 | 新采 | V1 | 5 | \％${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| 1991／92 |  | 3 | W88 |  | \％ |  |
| －2 |  |  |  | $-500$ | $+10$ |  |

Figure 2．Graph of deviations of the Galiean satellites from the E－2 Ephemeris for the 1976／77 through the 1991／92 Apparitions．Units are in kilometers．The width of each bar represents $\pm 1$ stan－ dard error．Note the different scale for Callisto，which was not eclipsed in every apparition．

Table 7．Galilean Satelite Timings Compared With E－2 Ephemeris，1991／92．

| Satellite：＿lo |  | Europa | Ganymede | Callisto |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disappearance |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Observations | 159 （141） | 74 （66） | 72 （64） | 46 （41） |
| Mean Residual（seconds） | ＋75．2 $\pm 1.9$ | ＋71．7 $\pm 3.5$ | ＋197．4さ6．0 | ＋351．0土13．4 |
| Coefficients： |  |  |  |  |
| R－squared | ．2318＊＊ | ． $3305^{* *}$ | ．2139＊＊ | ．1908＊＊ |
| A（seconds） | ＋93．9 $\pm 3.3$ | ＋100．5 5 5．9 | ＋238．7 $\pm 11.4$ | ＋414．4 $\pm 24.2$ |
| B | $-250 \pm 39$ | $-375 \pm 67$ | $-558 \pm 136$ | $-828 \pm 273$ |
| Standard Error（seconds） | $\pm 19.4$ | $\pm 23.7$ | $\pm 42.9$ | $\pm 78.5$ |
| Aperture Residual（seconds）： |  |  |  |  |
| $6-\mathrm{cm}$ | ＋52土4 | $+38 \pm 7$ | ＋146さ14 | ＋276 $\pm 28$ |
| $10-\mathrm{cm}$ | ＋69さ2 | $+63 \pm 3$ | ＋183 46 | ＋332 $\pm 14$ |
| $20-\mathrm{cm}$ | ＋81 $\pm 2$ | ＋82さ3 | ＋211 6 | ＋373 $\pm 14$ |
| $40-\mathrm{cm}$ | $+88 \pm 3$ |  | $+225 \pm 9$ | ＋394土17 |
| Reappearance |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Observations | 254 （229） | 130 （117） | 91 （81） | 29 （25） |
| Mean Residual（seconds） | －64．1士1．4 | －84．8 $\pm 2.4$ | $-215.4 \pm 5.6$ | $-294.8 \pm 18.7$ |
| Coefficients： |  |  |  |  |
| R －squared | ．1101＊＊ | ．0596＊＊ | ．1023＊＊ | ．4061＊＊ |
| A（seconds） | －77．2 $\pm 2.8$ | $-96.3 \pm 4.8$ | $-245.5 \pm 11.4$ | $-402.7 \pm 30.9$ |
| B | $+170 \pm 32$ | ＋153 $\pm 57$ | ＋367 $\pm 122$ | ＋1343 $\pm 339$ |
| Standard Error（seconds） | $\pm 19.4$ | $\pm 25.3$ | $\pm 48.5$ | $\pm 73.6$ |
| Aperture Residual（seconds）： |  |  |  |  |
| $6-\mathrm{cm}$ | $-49 \pm 3$ | $-71 \pm 6$ | －184さ12 | $-179 \pm 33$ |
| $10-\mathrm{cm}$ | $-60 \pm 1$ | $-81 \pm 3$ | －209 $\pm 6$ | $-268 \pm 16$ |
| $20-\mathrm{cm}$ | $-69 \pm 2$ | $-89 \pm 3$ | －227 7 | $-336 \pm 18$ |
| $40-\mathrm{cm}$ | －73 $\pm 2$ | $-92 \pm 4$ | $-236 \pm 9$ | $-369 \pm 24$ |
| Orbital Residual |  |  |  |  |
| Seconds | $+8.4 \pm 2.2^{* *}$ | ＋2．1 $\pm 3.8$（ns） | $-3.4 \pm 8.1$（ns） | ＋5．8 $\pm 19.6$（ns） |
| Orbital Arc（degrees） | ＋0．0198 $\pm .0051$ | ＋0．0025 $\pm .0045$ | $-0.0020 \pm .0047$ | ＋0．0015 $\pm .0049$ |
| Kilometers | ＋145さ38 | ＋29 52 | $-37 \pm 88$ | $+48 \pm 161$ |
| Diameter |  |  |  |  |
| Seconds | $171.1 \pm 4.3$ | $196.8 \pm 7.6$ | $484.2 \pm 16.1$ | $817.0 \pm 39.3$ |
| Kilometers | $2939 \pm 74$ | $2607 \pm 101$ | $5042 \pm 168$ | $5431 \pm 261$ |
| Compared with Standard（km） | $\begin{aligned} & -691 \pm 74^{\star \star} \\ & (-19.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -531 \pm 101^{* *} \\ (-16.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -220 \pm 168 \text { (ns) } \\ (-4.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} +631 \pm 211^{* *} \\ (+13.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Fourth，the two regression coefficients are given with their 1 －standard error uncertainty ranges；in Table 7，all such uncertainty ranges are preceded by the＂$\pm$＂symbol．Next is the standard error of estimate for the regression model．Following this are the predicted residu－ als for four commonly used telescope aper－ tures．

The disappearance and reappearance data are combined in order to give the orbital resid－ uals，expressed as how far＂ahead＂（negative） or＂behind＂（positive）the satellite was in terms of the E－2 Ephemeris．This value and its 1 －standard error uncertainty range are given in seconds of time，degrees of orbital arc，and ki－ lometers．

The results of the satellite diameter esti－ mation described above are given at the bot－ tom of each column，where the calculated sat－ ellite diameter is given in seconds of time and in kilometers．The latter value is corrected for the mean cosine of the angle of entrance into or out of Jupiter＇s shadow．This quantity is then compared with the＂standard＂Voyager－ derived satellite diameter（Io， 3630 km ；Euro－ pa， 3138 km ；Ganymede， 5262 km ；and Callis－ to， 4800 km ）．

Table 7 also shows the statistical signifi－ cance of the differences of the following val－ ues from zero：＂R－squared，＂the orbital residu－ al（in seconds of time only），and the difference between the estimated and the standard satel－ lite diameters．The statistical significance is shown by＂（ns）＂for not significant，＂＊＂for significant at the 5－percent level，and＂＊＊＂for significant at the 1 －percent level（these per－ centages give the probability of such results having occurred due solely to chance）．

There are eight types of events listed in Table 7；eclipse disappearances and reappear－ ances for each of the four satellites．As shown by the R －square values，in all eight cases the aperture－regression model significantly re－ duced the variance among the timings．

Nonetheless，the majority of the variance among the timings remained unaccounted for in our simple residual－aperture model．Natu－ rally，the uncertainties in our timings represent the combined effect of a plethora of variables that are not considered in our analysis，which takes only aperture into account．We have not considered，for example：type of instrument， magnification，optical quality，atmospheric conditions，distance or phase angle of Jupiter，
distance of the satellite from Jupiter's limb, keenness of the observer's eyes, or possible use of an occulting bar (an object placed at the focus of a positive eyepiece to block out Jupiter itself). Clearly, only some of these variables are quantifiable, and for some we have no data at all. Nonetheless, with the large number of timings we are now receiving each apparition, a more complex statistical analysis, which might reduce the amount of uncertainty, is possible.

The average uncertainty of the timings is indicated by the standard error which was roughly the same for disappearance and reappearance timings, but increased going outward in distance from Jupiter; about $\pm 19$ seconds for Io, $\pm 24-25$ seconds for Europa, $\pm 43-48$ seconds for Ganymede, and $\pm 74-78$ seconds for Callisto. This trend is not surprising because the satellites move more slowly, and Jupiter's shadow penumbra becomes broader, as one moves away from the planet. These factors also are reflected in the mainly increasing numerical values of the B -coefficients with distance from Jupiter; these values measure the effect of aperture variations on the reported times of events.

The orbital residuals, expressed in seconds of time, are the simple means of the disappearance and reappearance A-coefficients of each satellite. These values have aiso been converted to degrees of orbital arc and to kilometers.

The timing results for only one of the four Galilean satellites differed significantly from the E-2 Ephemeris; Io was reported as being eclipsed about 8 seconds later than predicted. The difference was about 3.87 times the standard error of that value, significant at a 1 -percent level.

The accuracy of our method of analysis can be assessed approximately by using the Acoefficients to estimate the diameters of the satellites, and then to compare these estimates with the diameters that were derived from the Voyager Missions. In the cases of Io, Europa, and Callisto there were significant differences, but of different signs. Io and Europa were estimated as too small, but Callisto as too large. The estimated diameter of Ganymede did not differ significantly from the standard values. These diameter differences follow the trend found for most previous apparitions and may be an effect resuiting from the increase in the size of Jupiter's penumbral shadow zone as one moves outwards in the satellite system.

## CONCLUSION

We encourage suitably-equipped observers to use their CCD or video cameras to time the eclipses of Jupiter's four major satellites (Mallama, 1991); conventional photometers are difficult to use accurately because of the effect of scattered light from Jupiter. For example, Henk J.J. Bulder reported that he had timed video records of 12 Galilean satellite eclipses during the 1991/92 Apparition, and that Jean Bourgeois had similarly timed another three. Electronic measures allow us to determine these bodies' orbits more accurately than do
individual visual timings, although the latter's accuracy is improved by combining different observers' visual timings. However, visual timings remain the mainstay of our program and provide comparability with the body of similar visual timings that goes back to the Seventeenth Century.

Naturally, we hope that the present observers will continue and new ones will join us. For information on this program, please contact the writer, whose address is given on the inside back cover. Along with instructions, he can send you a timing report form, which should be returned at the end of the current apparition (not of the calendar year). You will also need predictions of these events, which are published each year in the Astronomical Almanac, Observer's Handbook of the Royal Astronomical Society of Canada, The Handbook of the British Astronomical Association, and other places.

We thank the many observers who participated in our project for the 1991/92 Apparition of Jupiter. Remember that your results become more accurate as you accumulate experience. Likewise, the more visual timings that are made, the more accurate our results. Thus we hope to hear from you again!
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Table 9. Galilean Satellite Eclipse Timings, 1991-92 Apparition.


Table 9. Galilean Satellite Eclipse Timings, 1991-92 Apparition-Continued.

| UT <br> Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geom- Ob. } \\ & \text { etry No. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Con. Res. |  | UT <br> Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geom- Ob. } \\ & \text { etry No. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Con. Res. |  | UT <br> Date | Geom-$\qquad$ etry |  | Ob. No. | Con. Res. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mmdd | $r$ | - |  | stb | sec. | mmdd | r | - |  | stb | sec. | mmdd | 「 | - |  | stb | sec. |
| --. Io Reappearances-Cntd. .-1992 |  |  |  |  |  | 0404 | 0.7 | -8 | 6 a | 010 | -18 | 0509 | 1.0 | -9 | 62a | 000 | -35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 000 | -9 |  |  |  | 74a | 000 | -29 |
| 0310 | 0.2 | -8 | 50 | 000 | -68 | 0407 | 0.7 | -8 | 27 | 100 | -79 | 0511 | 1.0 | -9 | 14 | 001 | -70 |
|  |  |  | 7 | 100 | -41 |  |  |  | 9 | 122 | -73 |  |  |  | 17a | 112 | -67 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 110 | -36 |  |  |  | 79 | 000 | -67 |  |  |  | 72 | 001 | -48 |
| 0312 | 0.3 | -8 | 54 | 220 | -19 |  |  |  | 64 | 111 | -55 | 0513 | 1.0 | -9 | 2 | 101 | -103 |
|  |  |  | 56 | 220 | +95 |  |  |  | 85 | . . | -52 |  |  |  | 50 | 000 | -84 |
| 0315 | 0.3 | -8 | 9 | 122 | -85 | 0409 | 0.7 | -8 | 65 | 010 | -82 | 0515 | 1.0 | -9 | 43 | 100 | -84 |
|  |  |  | 40a | 001 | . 73 | 0411 | 0.8 | -8 | 53 | 001 | -99 | 0516 | 1.0 | -9 | 9 | 212 | -82 |
|  |  |  | 79 | 000 | -71 |  |  |  | 22a | 200 | -85 |  |  |  | 27 | 002 | -81 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 001 | -66 |  |  |  | 60 | 001 | -84 |  |  |  | 41a | 011 | -81 |
|  |  |  | 64a | 122 | -66 |  |  |  | 84 | 001 | -84 |  |  |  | 79 | 000 | -78 |
|  |  |  | 23a | 101 | -65 |  |  |  | 50 | 000 | . 79 |  |  |  | 62a | 000 | -72 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 101 | -60 |  |  |  | 54 | 000 | -66 |  |  |  | 81 | 011 | -70 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 002 | -50 |  |  |  | 55 | 001 | -66 |  |  |  | 92 | 100 | -68 |
|  |  |  | 81 | 101 | -45 |  |  |  | 7 | 021 | -63 |  |  |  | 69 | 010 | -61 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 010 | +6 |  |  |  | 71 | 011 | -16 |  |  |  | 70 | 011 | -59 |
| 0317 | 0.4 | -8 | 88b | 222 | -67 | 0413 | 0.8 | -8 | 56 | 000 | -101 |  |  |  | 93 | 101 | -58 |
|  |  |  | 65a | 112 | -32 |  |  |  | 78 | 000 | -98 |  |  |  | 91 | 200 | -56 |
| 0319 | 0.4 | -8 | 88b | 002 | -103 |  |  |  | 22a | 202 | -86 |  |  |  | 85 | ... | -54 |
|  |  |  | 89 | 000 | -64 | 0415 | 0.8 | -8 | 8 | 001 | . 75 |  |  |  | 74a | 000 | -47 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 020 | -51 | 0416 | 0.8 | -8 | 40a | 121 | -40 |  |  |  | 46 | 022 | -46 |
|  |  |  | 22a | 201 | -49 |  |  |  | 3 | 111 | +57 |  |  |  | 62 | 000 | -45 |
|  |  |  | 4 a | 010 | +5 | 0418 | 0.9 | -8 | 53 | 002 | -116 |  |  |  | 26 | 010 | -43 |
| 0321 | 0.4 | -8 | 75 | 000 | -74 |  |  |  | 102 | 000 | -57 |  |  |  | 31 | 111 | -42 |
|  |  |  | 12 | -. - | -46 |  |  |  | 84 | 101 | -56 |  |  |  | 83a | 010 | -41 |
| 0323 | 0.5 | -8 | 75a | 000 | -103 |  |  |  | 101 | 221 | +42 |  |  |  | 19 | 011 | -5 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 000 | -88 | 0420 | 0.9 | -8 | 88b | 110 | -99 | 0518 | 1.1 | -9 | 51 | 121 | -35 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 210 | -85 |  |  |  | 22a | 101 | -90 | 0520 | 1.1 | -9 | 60 | 000 | -93 |
|  |  |  | 97 | 212 | -62 |  |  |  | 56 | 000 | -74 |  |  |  | 50 | 000 | -88 |
|  |  |  | 85 | -- - | -51 | 0422 | 0.9 | -9 | 79 | 000 | -54 |  |  |  | 65a | 021 | -84 |
|  |  |  | 79 | 000 | -36 | 0423 | 0.9 | -9 | 36a | 100 | -87 |  |  |  | 7 | 000 | -72 |
| 0324 | 0.5 | -8 | 9 | 212 | -52 |  |  |  | 92 | 110 | -77 | 0522 | 1.1 | -9 | 100a | 100 | -48 |
|  |  |  | 16 | 121 | +107 |  |  |  | 81 | 001 | -72 |  |  |  | 100 | 000 | -29 |
| 0326 | 0.5 | -8 | 88b | 001 | -97 |  |  |  | 79 | 000 | -66 | 0523 | 1.1 | -9 | 27 | 000 | -63 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 200 | -87 |  |  |  | 3 | 111 | -49 |  |  |  | 79 | 000 | -62 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 000 | -82 | 0425 | 0.9 | -9 | 84 | 100 | -65 | 0525 | 1.1 | -9 | 68 | 010 | -67 |
|  |  |  | 65 | 010 | -74 |  |  |  | 101 | 000 | -2 | 0527 | 1.1 | -9 | 84 | 001 | -80 |
|  |  |  | 6 | 000 | -71 | 0427 | 0.9 | -9 | 54a | 000 | -88 |  |  |  | 17a | 101 | -61 |
|  |  |  | 56 | 200 | -71 | 0430 | 1.0 | -9 | 27 | 111 | -72 |  |  |  | 55 | 001 | -55 |
|  |  |  | 84 | 001 | -66 |  |  |  | 9 | 122 | -67 |  |  |  | 71 | 002 | -55 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 001 | -65 |  |  |  | 12 | --. | -67 |  |  |  | 6 | 000 | -14 |
| 0328 | 0.6 | -8 | 82 | 010 | -92 |  |  |  | 64 a | 211 | -66 |  |  |  | 101 | 000 | +6 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 100 | -85 |  |  |  | 31 | 200 | -59 |  |  |  | 102 | 220 | +37 |
|  |  |  | 88 | 002 | -84 |  |  |  | 26 | 000 | -22 | 0529 | 1.1 | -9 | 88a | 112 | -98 |
|  |  |  | 54a | 210 | -63 |  |  |  | 79 | 000 | -17 |  |  |  | 54a | 000 | -88 |
| 0330 | 0.6 | -8 | 12 | -- | -59 | 0504 | 1.0 | -9 | 88b | 100 | -94 |  |  |  | 48 | 002 | -67 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 100 | -58 |  |  |  | 50 | 010 | -75 |  |  |  | 50 | 002 | -61 |
| 0331 | 0.6 | -8 | 27 | 000 | -81 |  |  |  | 14 | 000 | -74 |  |  |  | 56 | 000 | -48 |
|  |  |  | 9 | 122 | -72 |  |  |  | 7 | 120 | -69 | 0531 | 1.1 | -9 | 43 | 001 | -78 |
|  |  |  | 81 | 101 | -50 |  |  |  | 72 | 021 | 0 |  |  |  | 58 | 210 | -73 |
|  |  |  | 91 a | 112 | -48 | 0506 | 1.0 | -9 | 56 | 000 | -44 |  |  |  | 5 | 220 | -25 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 011 | -17 | 0508 | 1.0 | -9 | 32 | 000 | -76 | 0601 | 1.1 | -9 | 31 | 012 | -77 |
| 0402 | 0.6 | -8 | 84 | 101 | -66 | 0509 | 1.0 | -9 | 68 | 111 | -86 |  |  |  | 9 | 121 | -76 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 010 | -65 |  |  |  | 36a | 202 | -80 | 0603 | 1.1 | -9 | 14 | 001 | -72 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 101 | -61 |  |  |  | 81 | 001 | -76 |  |  |  | 51 | 110 | -56 |
|  |  |  | 7 | 200 | -45 |  |  |  | 93 | 001 | -67 | 0605 | 1.1 | -9 | 2 | 100 | -101 |
| 0404 | 0.7 | -8 | 48 | 100 | -94 |  |  |  | 33 | 111 | -59 |  |  |  | $22 a$ | 201 | -61 |
|  |  |  | 86 | 000 | -76 |  |  |  | 70 | 001 | -58 | 0607 | 1.0 | . 9 | 57 | 221 | -80 |
|  |  |  | 7 | 100 | -75 |  |  |  | 83 | 001 | -57 |  |  |  | 21 | 201 | -79 |
|  |  |  | 22a | 000 | -71 |  |  |  | 13 | 000 | -53 |  |  |  | 8 a | 001 | -45 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 010 | -67 |  |  |  | 3 | 111 | -48 |  |  |  | 44 | 121 | . 40 |
|  |  |  | 89 | 010 | -66 |  |  |  | 26 | 000 | -46 | 0608 | 1.0 | -10 | 31 | 011 | -82 |

Table 9. Galilean Satellite Eclipse Timings, 1991-92 Apparition—Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { UT } \\ \text { Date } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Geom- } \\ \hline \text { etry } \end{gathered}$ | Ob. <br> No. | Con.Res. |  | UT Date | Geometry | Ob. |  |  | UT Date | Geometry |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mmdd | - |  | stb | sec. | mmdd | r |  | stb | sec. | mmdd | r |  | stb | sec. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text {--- Io Reappearances-Cntd. --- } \\ & \text { 1992 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 1220 | 1.6-12 | 88 | 112 | +91 | 0229 | 0.0-15 | 10 | 200 | -69 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1224 | 1.6-12 | 73 | 100 | -3 |  |  | 65a | 010 | -26 |
| 0608 | 1.0-10 | 74a | 110 | -78 |  |  | 79 | - . - | +62 |  |  | 4 a | 110 | -2 |
|  |  | 41a | 100 | -71 |  |  | 92 | 000 | +88 |  |  | 46 | 211 | +122 |
|  |  | 26 | 101 | -44 |  |  | 36 b | 101 | +103 | 0307 | 0.3-15 | 24 | 020 | -397 |
|  |  | 62a | 220 | -27 |  |  | 31 | 101 | +111 |  |  | 23a | 010 | -120 |
|  |  | 3 | 211 | +14 | 1227 | 1.6-12 | 52 | 000 | +16 |  |  | 11 | 010 | -94 |
|  |  | 62 | 220 | +34 |  |  | 65a | 011 | +82 |  |  | 46 | 011 | -60 |
|  |  | 70 | 121 | +72 |  |  | 7 | 111 | +84 |  |  | 92 | 000 | -55 |
| 0612 | 1.0-10 | 65a | 111 | -80 |  |  | 25 | 001 | +104 |  |  | 9 | 112 | -45 |
|  |  | 88b | 202 | -73 | 1231 | 1.5-12 | 36 | 000 | +26 |  |  | 81 | 121 | -25 |
|  |  | 4 | 222 | -8 |  |  | 9 | 121 | +49 |  |  | 39a | 111 | +14 |
| 0614 | 1.0-10 | 78 | 000 | -92 |  |  | 40a | - 2 | +106 |  |  | 79 | - | +59 |
|  |  | 22a | 000 | -75 |  |  | 31 | 000 | +113 |  |  | 83 | 112 | +71 |
|  |  | 94 | 101 | -37 | 1992 |  |  |  |  | 0311 | 0.4-15 | 88b | 210 | -108 |
| 0616 | 1.0-10 | 79 | 000 | -36 | 0103 | 1.5-12 | 65a | 011 | +88 |  |  | 6 | 000 | -71 |
| 0619 | 1.0-10 | 65a | 110 | -71 | 0107 | 1.4-13 | 80 | 000 | +106 |  |  | 65a | 221 | -62 |
|  |  | 84 | 001 | -64 | 0110 | 1.4-13 | 16 | 100 | +48 |  |  | 22a | 200 | -34 |
|  |  | 104 | 001 | -52 |  |  | 71 | 002 | +61 | 0315 | 0.5-16 | 28 | 001 | -80 |
| 0621 | 1.0-10 | 48 | 002 | -89 |  |  | 69 | 010 | +67 |  |  | 79 | 000 | -69 |
|  |  | 88b | 102 | -88 |  |  | 9 | 122 | +85 |  |  | 81 | 101 | -67 |
|  |  | 54 a | 000 | -84 |  |  | 27 | 101 | +127 |  |  | 85 | -. | -64 |
|  |  | 86 | 001 | -78 | 0118 | 1.2-13 | 79 | ... | +7 |  |  | 30 | 001 | -54 |
|  |  | 7 | 002 | . 73 |  |  | 9 | 212 | +80 |  |  | 12 | . | -23 |
|  |  | 50 | 001 | . 70 | 0121 | 1.1-13 | 56 | 011 | +44 | 0318 | 0.6-16 | 88b | 102 | -110 |
|  |  | 56 | 000 | -6 |  |  | 14 | 001 | +76 |  |  | 65a | 012 | . 95 |
|  |  | 4 a | 111 | 0 |  |  | 88b | 112 | +107 |  |  | 6 | 000 | -87 |
| 0624 | 1.0-10 | 9 | 121 | -70 | 0125 | 1.1-13 | 3 | 101 | +5 | 0322 | 0.7-16 | 80 | 000 | -119 |
|  |  | 92 | 100 | -54 |  |  | 16 | 000 | +61 |  |  | 12 |  | -100 |
|  |  | 70 | 112 | -25 |  |  | 9 | 212 | +66 |  |  | 27 | 111 | -97 |
|  |  | 31 | 012 | -22 |  |  | 27 | 111 | +71 |  |  | 20 | 100 | -80 |
| 0628 | 0.9-10 | 48 | 000 | -86 |  |  | 57 | 210 | +93 |  |  | 79 | 000 | -51 |
|  |  | 7 | 000 | -77 |  |  | 80 | 000 | +96 | 0325 | 0.8-16 | 88b | 111 | -127 |
|  |  | 88b | 210 | -76 | 0128 | 1.0-13 | 72a | 012 | +16 |  |  | 65a | 011 | -104 |
|  |  | 61 | 000 | -61 |  |  | 7 | 100 | +76 |  |  | 60 | 000 | -85 |
|  |  | 4 a | 000 | +1 |  |  | 50 | 000 | +79 |  |  | 50 | 010 | -80 |
| 0630 | 0.9-10 | 42 | 22 - | -57 |  |  | 60 | 000 | +83 |  |  | 7 | 210 | -77 |
| 0714 | 0.8-10 | 88b | 112 | -92 |  |  | 14 | 221 | +90 |  |  | 43 | 000 | -4 |
|  |  | 50 | 000 | -70 |  |  | 48 | 000 | +122 | 0329 | 0.9-16 | 80 | 100 | -100 |
|  |  | 22a | 101 | -63 | 0201 | 0.9-14 | 24 | - 0 | -172 |  |  | 8 | 100 | -94 |
|  |  | 87 | 001 | . 58 |  |  | 73 | 000 | +59 |  |  | 12 | - | -45 |
| 0716 | 0.8-10 | 97a | 111 | -83 |  |  | 31 | 001 | +106 | 0405 | 1.1-17 | 54a | 000 | -130 |
|  |  | 43 | 101 | -81 | 0204 | 0.8-14 | 69 | 010 | +29 |  |  | 82a | 000 | -119 |
|  |  | 21 | 201 | -76 |  |  | 9 | 122 | +69 |  |  | 43 | 100 | -115 |
| 0806 | 0.6-11 | 4a | 010 | 0 |  |  | 65a | 010 | +80 |  |  | 22a | 000 | -111 |
|  | ---- Europa Disappearances -.. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 000 | +98 |  |  | 6 a | 000 | -105 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 000 | +135 |  |  | 88b | 102 | -105 |
| $\frac{1991}{0919}$ | 0.7-8 | 38 | 211 | -36 | 0211 | 0.6-14 | 9 | 212 | +44 | 0408 | 1.2-17 | 9 | 222 | -118 |
| 1021 | 1.3 -9 | 92 | 100 | +51 |  |  | 19 | 010 | +79 |  |  | 27 | 000 | -106 |
| 1024 | $1.4-9$ | 6 | 000 | +33 |  |  | 69 | 110 | +91 |  |  | 69 | 110 | -78 |
| 1031 | 1.5-10 | 103 | 012 | -273 | 0215 | 0.4-14 | 40 |  | +85 +89 |  |  | 79 81 | 000 | -77 |
| 1122 | 1.7-11 | 38 | 000 | +64 | 0219 | 0.3-14 | 48 12 |  | +89 +24 | 0412 | 1.2-17 | 81 | 201 | -47 -107 |
|  |  | 9 | 222 | +66 +58 |  | 0.3-14 | 85 | -- | +24 +36 | 0412 | 1.2-17 | 65 a | 1 | -107 -104 |
| 1125 | 1.7-11 | 88b | 112 | +58 |  |  | 38 | 212 | +57 |  |  | 50 | 021 | -82 |
|  |  | 25 | 001 | +104 |  |  | 36a | 001 | +103 |  |  | 54 | 100 | -82 |
| 1202 | 1.7-11 | 14 | 001 | +48 | 0222 | 0.2-15 |  |  | +4 |  |  | 22 | 101 | -81 |
|  |  | 65 a | 012 | +70 |  |  | 65a | 021 | +32 |  |  | 84 | 002 | -79 |
|  |  | 60 | 011 | +75 |  |  | 34 a | 100 | +68 | 0416 | 1.3-17 | 79 | 000 | -88 |
| 1209 | 1.7-11 | 27 | 111 | +134 | 0226 | 0.1-15 | 27 |  | +118 | 0419 | 1.4-17 | 53 | 001 | -133 |
| 1220 | 1.6-12 | $7$ |  | $+56$ |  | uropa Re | appea | aranc | ces ---- |  |  | 54 a | 100 | -107 |
|  |  | $48$ | $200$ | +91 | $1992$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 22 a | 101 201 | -106 -99 |
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Table 9. Galilean Satellite Eclipse Timings, 1991-92 Apparition-Continued.


Table 9. Galilean Satellite Eclipse Timings, 1991-92 Apparition—Continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { UT } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Georn- Ob. } \\ & \text { etry } \mathrm{No} . \end{aligned}$ |  | Con. Res. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UT } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geom- Ob. } \\ & \text { etry No. } \end{aligned}$ |  | Con. Res. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UT } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Geom- Ob. etry No. |  | Con. Res. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mmdd | $r$ |  | stb | sec. | mmdd | r o |  | stb | sec. | mmdd | r |  | stb | sec . |
| Ganymede Reap.-Continued. 1992 |  |  |  |  | 1220 | 4.6-25 | 50 | 000 | +308 | 0416 | 3.6-39 | 8 | 010 | -322 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | 112 | +379 |  |  | 79 | 000 | -189 |
| 0412 | 2.0-17 | 65a | 011 | -279 |  |  | 48 | 100 | +422 | 0502 | 4.3-42 | 47 | 011 | -338 |
|  |  | 50 | 011 | -268 | 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | --. | -231 |
|  |  | 60 | 000 | -267 | 0123 | 3.1-29 | 79 | .-. | +364 |  |  | 77 | 121 | -187 |
|  |  | 84 | 001 | -252 |  |  | 19 | 000 | +384 | 0519 | 4.6-44 | $65 a$ | 010 | -469 |
|  |  | 54 | 100 | -206 |  |  | 40a | 000 | +390 |  |  | 60 | 000 | -367 |
|  |  | 71 | 011 | -134 |  |  | 27 | 102 | +392 | 0605 | 4.6-46 | 22a | 101 | -482 |
|  |  | 101 | 012 | -118 | 0208 | 1.8-31 | 36 | 001 | +204 |  |  | 22 | 101 | -227 |
| 0426 | 2.4-18 | 37 | 011 | -290 |  |  | 46 | 001 | +207 | 0811 | 1.9-57 | 22a | 101 | -258 |
|  |  | 31 | 100 | -283 |  |  | 67 | --- | +212 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 26 | 000 | -278 |  |  | 91 | 110 | +254 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 92 | 000 | -239 |  |  | 996 | 101 | +413 |  | Key to t | e | ove |  |
|  |  | 81 | 001 | -233 |  |  | 90 | 100 | +435 |  | and List of | Obs | erver |  |
|  |  | 46 | 021 | -231 | 0225 | 0.3-33 | 4a | 000 | -2 |  | follow | on $p$. |  |  |
|  |  | 79 | 000 | -211 |  |  | 7 | 100 | +237 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 111 | -182 |  |  | 60 | 000 | +259 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0504 | 2.5-19 | 57 | 010 | -301 |  |  | 14 | 000 | +270 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 a | 100 | -270 |  |  | 34a | 220 | +296 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 79 | 000 | -207 |  |  | 50 | 000 | +307 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0511 | 2.6-19 | 100a | 220 | -161 |  |  | 65a | 010 | +307 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 100 | 210 | -140 |  |  | 48 | 000 | +409 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0518 | 2.7-19 | 78 | 100 | -295 | 0330 | 1.0-38 | 12 | ... | -51 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 88b | 102 | -282 |  |  | 57 | 221 | +443 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 34 | 000 | -255 | 0415 | 2.1-40 | 12 | ... | +52 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 54a | 010 | -250 |  |  | 3 | 111 | +152 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | 000 | -232 |  |  | 27 | 112 | +332 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 60 | 001 | -224 |  |  | 29 | 002 | +417 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 86 | 110 | -202 |  |  | 36 a | 101 | +462 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7 | 011 | -190 |  |  | 31 | 101 | +471 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0525 | 2.7-20 | 60 | 000 | -265 |  |  | 37 | 011 | +492 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 84 | 001 | -247 |  |  | 96 | 101 | +527 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 53 | 001 | -244 | 0502 | 2.9-42 | 14 | 121 | +232 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 65a | 010 | -244 | 0519 | 3.3-44 | 34 | 100 | +364 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 102 | 010 | -176 |  |  | 88b | 120 | +408 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 53 | 121 | -128 |  |  | 60 | 010 | +425 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 101 | 121 | +2 |  |  | 78 | 100 | +446 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0608 | 2.7-21 | 3 | 212 | -687 | 0621 | 3.0-49 | 49 | 111 | -3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 41a | 100 | -284 |  |  | 41a | 210 | +257 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 62a | 110 | -238 |  |  | 79 | 010 | +351 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 26 | 101 | -224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 69 | 001 | . 221 |  | allisto Rea | appe |  | ces -- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 81 | 011 | -213 | $\frac{1991}{1117}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 79 | 000 | -211 | $1204$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8-21 \\ & 3.0-23 \end{aligned}$ | 31 |  | $\begin{aligned} & -292 \\ & -149 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 62 | 110 | -205 | $\begin{aligned} & 1204 \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 41 | 100 | -202 | 0106 | 2.3-27 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 30 | 000 | -163 | 0106 | 2.3-27 | 50 | 120 | -228 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 70 | 121 | -116 | 0209 | 0.2-31 | 91 |  | -172 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0616 | 2.6-21 | 80 | 000 | -241 | 0209 | 0.2-31 | 36 | 001 | -172 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0630 | 2.4-22 | 48 | 000 | -274 |  |  |  |  | -130 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 87 | 010 | -231 |  |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 61 | 110 | -226 |  |  | 8 |  | +130 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0721 | 1.9-23 | 79 | 000 | -162 | 0313 | 1.2-35 | 78 | --- | +160 |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- Callisto Disappearances --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 000 | -338 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{1991}{1031}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 111 | -336 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.1-20 | 80 | 100 | +314 |  |  | 88b | 120 | -333 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 57 | 201 | +343 |  |  | 7 | 100 | -320 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1117 | 4.6-22 | 31 | 111 | +287 |  |  | 50 | 010 | -283 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 35 | 122 | +476 |  |  | 6 a | 000 | -276 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1203 | 4.8-23 | 71 | 001 | +256 |  |  | 14 | 000 | -274 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14 | 001 | +334 | 0330 | 2.5-37 | 54 | 000 | -305 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1220 | 4.6-25 | 7 | 111 | +305 | 0416 | 3.6-39 | 37 | 011 | . 403 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Key:

A. UT Date: the Universal Time year, month number, and day of the event.
B. Geometry: The apparent distance of the satellite from the nearest Jovian limb in units of the Jovian equatorial semidiameter (r); followed by the jovicentric latitude (as projected onto the shadow), in degrees, of the center of the satellite in relation to the shadow center.
C. Ob.No.: Observer (or team) number as listed below. In that list, the first figure in parentheses represents the aperture of the telescope used in centimeters; the second the number of timings submitted using that telescope.
D. Con.: Conditions of observation; in order, seeing, transparency, and field brightness. The code is: $0=$ condition not perceptible with no effect on timing; $1=$ condition perceptible with possible minor effect on timing; $2=$ condition serious with definite effect on the accuracy of the timing. A dash indicates that the observer did not report that particular condition.
E. Res. (residual): The time difference in seconds, found by subtracting the eclipse UT as predicted by the E-2 Ephemeris from the observed eclipse UT. The former, originally given in Ephemeris Time, was converted to UT using an assumed $\Delta T$ value of +58 seconds prior to 1992 MAR 27 and +59 seconds thereafter. Italicized residuals denote timings that were not used in the regression analysis, because they differed from the regression model at the 5 -percent significance level.
Participating Observers
(In Parentheses: Aperture followed by number of timings)

Anonymous (25; 1)
Abrahams, W. (20; 4)
Aquilar, J. (14; 14)
Ayme, A. $(20.2 ; 1)$
(20.5; 11)

Barroso, N. $(20 ; 1)$
Bembrick, C. $(7.5 ; 9)$
$(25 ; 5)$
Blanksby, J. (15; 27)
Bock, P. (10.2; 7)
(12.7; 3)

Brylowski, Z. (15; 27)
Bulder, H. (30; 5)
Busi, L. (12; 1)
Campos, A. $(18 ; 14)$
Catalano, A. $(25 ; 2)$
Chen, D.-h. (11.4; 20)
Cheng, Jie $(14 ; 1)$
Cilleruelo, L. (6;7)
Cui, Z.-m. (10.0; 1)
(10.2; 3)

Cziniel, S. ( $15 ; 1$ )
Darnell, P. (15; 5)
Davis, M. $(6 ; 4)$
Dickens, T. $(20 ; 2)$
Dickie, R. (9; 2)
(20; 18)
Di Luca, R. (12; 1)
$(35 ; 4)$
Dueñas, M. $(10 ; 5)$
Elsworth, G. (20;5)
Escaramís, J. (20; 7)
Ewald, D. (8; 29)
Fernande, J. (21; 1)
Fernandes, J. (15; 6)
Foglia, S. (5; 2)
Garcia, J. (40; 26)
Garey, P. $(20 ; 4)$
Garoni, M. (10.2; 3)
George, M. (11.3; 3)
(17; 3)
Gómez, J. (41; 2)
Gonçalves, R. (5; 5)
$(15 ; 8)$
(20; 1)
González, M. $(21 ; 3)$
Gracias, N. (13.5; 16)
Grilo, A. $(11.4 ; 2)$
(11.5; 1)

Grunnet, C. $(6.3 ; 2)$
$(20 ; 6)$
"Grupo A. del Jolón" (8; 1)
$(21 ; 4)$
Haas, W. (20.3; 1)
Hays, R. $(15 ; 16)$
Himes, D. $(30 ; 1)$
"I.B.C. Arenal" (8; 2)
Kerber, F. (11.4; 13)
Kiss, L. (10; 1)
Kruijshoop, A. (20; 15)
Lacruz, R. (8; 1)
Larkin, P. (20; 32)
Li. T.-j. $(8 ; 2)$

Li, Y.-j. (6; 3)
Liu, T.-L. (8; 6)
Loader, B. (10; 5)

54a Loader, B. (20; 11)
55 Lü, D.-D. (6.3; 8)
56 MacDonald, M. $(20 ; 14)$
57 MacDougal, C. $(15 ; 11)$
58 Mackintosh, R. (21.6; 4)
59 Martins, P. $(20 ; 1)$
60 Matthews, T. (20; 32)
McCrohan, P. (11.4; 3)
Milanesi, A. (6; 5)
62a " $(15 ; 6)$
63 Millán, M. $(8 ; 1)$
64 Molau, S. $(5 ; 3)$
64a " (6.3; 2)
64b " $(50 ; 2)$
Moller, H. (20; 3)
65a " (20.3; 39)
(20.5; 1)

Nagy-Mélykuti, A. (5; 2)
Ofek, E. $(20 ; 4)$
Olesen, J. (20; 9)
Orietta, C. $(11.4 ; 7)$
O'Yiang, T.-j. (15; 12)
Pan, X.-q. (5.0; 2)
(5.5; 3)

Panivino, A. (6; 7)
Paolucei, M. $(6 ; 1)$
(11.4; 5)

75 Parmentier, R. (15; 1)
(75; 2)
76 Pasolini, D. $(20 ; 2)$
Patak, A. $(6.3 ; 3)$
Priestley, J. $(20 ; 6)$
Roque, M. (6; 35)
Rowley, D. $(20 ; 15)$
Ruiz, B. (12; 13)
Samolyk, G. (32; 2)

(11; 1)
84 Shi, Q.-D. (10; 12)
85 Silvestre, R. (11.4; 7)
86 Skilton, P. $(15 ; 5)$
87 Skilton, R. $(15 ; 2)$
Smith, C. $(7.5 ; 6)$
$(20 ; 1)$
(25; 32)
Smith, G. $(20 ; 4)$
Sterzinger, P. $(20 ; 1)$
Szabó, S. (7; 5)
$(11 ; 1)$
Testa, L. (20; 10)
$(40 ; 2)$
Tozzi, F. $(9 ; 2)$
Underhay, E. (7.5; 1)
Urbano, J. (26; 2)
Vidal, J. (41; 2)
Waraczynski, S. $(25.4 ; 3)$
(31.75; 2)

98 Ward, C. $(20.5 ; 4)$
Warrell, J. $(14 ; 1)$
99 a " $(15 ; 1)$
$99 \mathrm{~b} \quad$ " $(16 ; 1)$
100 Westfall, J. (10.2; 2)
100a " (25.4; 2)
101 Yiang, H.-t. (8; 8)
102 Zhang, D.-q. (10; 3)
102a " $\quad(20 ; 1)$
103 Zhang, X.-j. (10; 2)
104 Zhou, Y.-h. (6.3; 1)

# Improbable Jovian Impact Candidates 

By: John H. Rogers, British Astronomical Association, 10 The Woodlands, Linton, Cambs. CB1 6UF, U.K. E-Mail: JR@mole.bio.cam.ac.uk

The spectacular dark spots created on Jupiter by the impacts of Comet ShoemakerLevy 9 naturally led people to wonder whether similar things had been observed before. Having then just completed a thorough review of the Jovian literature [1], my opinion was that there were no outstanding historical records of prominent, sudden-onset dark spots on Jupiter that were not recognizable as recurrent meteorological features [2].

Richard Hill [3] has performed a useful service by searching through BAA (British Astronomical Association) Jupiter Memoirs looking specifically for possible examples of comet impact spots, and he described several candidates. I argue here that these are much more likely to have been meteorological features like other Jovian spots. So the value of Hill's search lies in putting an upper limit on the frequency of comet impacts.

In the following text, figure and page references are to the BAA Memoirs for those apparitions. Note that in these years, most observers used GMAT and called it GMT; thus "Oct. 24, 17 h 40 m " means Oct. 25, 05 h 40 m UT. Central meridian is abbreviated as CM, and standard Jovian abbreviations are used.

## CANDIDATE SPOTS ON THE NORTH EQUATORIAL BELT (NEB)

Hill [3] proposed six candidate spots in five apparitions. The most interesting was on the SEB north edge in 1921, and original observations of this will be discussed after the others. I have inspected the records of the other spots in the BAA Memoirs. They all appear to be just very dark examples of normal NEB/NTrZ features. These include "projections" on the NEBs edge, and "barges," which are cyclonic dark spots on the NEBn edge; both types can become very dark, as for example during the Voyager encounters. These spots were as follows, using Hill's numbering:

1. 1895 (NEBn). Lunt's observation [3] coincided with a drawing by T.H. Foulkes on Oct. 24/25 (Memoir Fig. 23), which shows the spot as a black wedge $25^{\circ}$ following the CM. This must have been the "Garnet Spot," although not accurately positioned. It should have been on the CM, according to direct estimates of its System II longitude on Oct. 27/28 by H McEwen and Oct. $15 / 16$ by E. Antoniadi [also see Plate P4.3 of Ref. 1]. As Hill noted, the Garnet and Violin spots were two very dark spots tracked for many months. Although the Oct. 24/25 drawing made the Garnet Spot look like a "barge," from other data I concluded that these were actually the first observed examples of Little Red Spots in the NTrZ [p. 117 of Ref. 1 ].
2. 1909 (NEBn). In Newbegin's drawing (Memoir Fig.8), this appears to be an unremarkable small barge.
4, 5. 1932 (NEBn, NEBs). The NEBn dark spot was described in the Memoir (pp. 6, 23) and is tabulated therein as No. 7, tracked for three months. Apart from Phillips' one record of its being very dark on Jan. 31, it was treated as a typical NEBn dark spot, and there were others of these. There was no evidence for a sudden onset.

Williams' NEBs dark spot description resembles a large but common NEBs projection.
6. 1941 (mid-NEB). As Hill said, this did not resemble a comet impact. (The "rings" around S-L9 impact sites were controversial.) It was a transient feature in an active NEB rift region (Memoir Plate IV), probably a small but intense cyclonic eddy.

## CANDIDATE SpOT ON THE SOUTH EQUATORIAL BELT (SEB) IN 1921

This dark spot on the SEBn edge was the most promising candidate because in Sargent's description it sounds rather like the SL9 impact sites, and the slow motion would be appropriate for a high-altitude cloud over the equatorial region [p. 147 of Ref. 1]. This dark spot was described by Sargent in Monthly Notices [4] and was cited in the BAA Memoir only for its rotation period. The question is: Was it just a very dark example of the SEBn spots which were numerous in that apparition? At Hill's request, I have checked the BAA Jupiter archives for original records of this feature, and found some more details which were not available to him.

The SEB had undergone a vigorous "Revival" in 1919/20, and such Revivals are often followed by conspicuous bright and dark spots on the SEBn that persist for up to a year, often with increasing System I longitude (i.e., slow drift for the latitude) [see pp. 162 and 171 f of Ref. 1], like Sargent's spot. Indeed, shortlived SEBn dark spots were common in early 1921 (Memoir p. 77, and many drawings therein). In the Memoir, the motion of the SEBn edge is described (p. 81 section 4; "NEB" is a misprint). Sargent's spot was particularly dark and slow but not necessarily exceptional. Sargent's observations (May 16 June 3) were late in the apparition (opposition was March 4) when observations were infrequent. In the Memoir, nothing else was tracked after May 10 except for the large, long-lived circulations in the South Tropical and Temperate regions.

The BAA Jupiter archives before 1950 are fragmentary, mainly because in that prephotocopier age, people used to lend their observations to the Section Director who would return them later. However, there are quite a lot of observations for some years and 1921 happens to be one of them. Although I have not found observations by Phillips or Peek, several other observers recorded this turbulent SEBn region just before, during, and after Sargent's spot. (Dates and times below are all given in GMAT. Ll is System I longitude; CM1 is Ll of CM; p. and f. are preceding and following.)

Phillips' chart of the BAA longitude data still exists, on which Sargent's data are plotted, showing that the spot moved from $\mathrm{L} 1=$ $236^{\circ}$ (May 16) to $269^{\circ}$ (June 3), a rate of $+56^{\circ}$ month. Also, on April 25 at $\mathrm{L} 1=165^{\circ}$, some $35^{\circ}$ preceding the later track of Sargent's spot, a "very dark" spot is plotted, with white spots following it-possibly precursor activity of Sargent's spot.
T. Brindley (Sydney, Australia) used only a 3 -inch $(7-\mathrm{cm})$ refractor, so had low resolution, but he sent a set of nicely tinted drawings starting in 1880! In late 1921 they included: May 4, 01:30, CM1 $=210^{\circ}$. Very dark purple spot on $\mathrm{SEBn} / \mathrm{EZs} 40^{\circ}$ preceding CM ( $\mathrm{LI}=$ $170^{\circ}$ ). This is $40^{\circ}$ preceding the later track of Sargent's spot.
M. du Martheray (Brussels, Belgium), using a 5 -inch ( $13.5-\mathrm{cm}$ ) refractor, observed up to July 14, and sent an overall report and many drawings. His report on the SEB does not mention anything very dark but describes it as "Region changements rapides." Relevant drawings are as follows: (1) April 30, CM1 = $195^{\circ}$. Nothing prominent near CM (centered on the later track of Sargent's spot), but a dark streak on following side. (2) May 5, 08:45, $\mathrm{CM} 1=273^{\circ}$ (Fig. IV. 4 of Memoir; Figure la on p. 30 herein). Shows typical complex disturbance on SEBn with streaks into SEB, including a very dark "step-up" on preceding side, which is probably Sargent's "angle" feature (transited at $\mathrm{L} 1=197^{\circ} .5$ the previous evening) although it should be even nearer the preceding limb. This must be a precursor of Sargent's spot. (3) May 21, CM1 $=269^{\circ}$ (Figure $1 b, \mathrm{p} .30$ ). The very dark streak on the SEBn is Sargent's spot.
E.A.L. Attkins (Squirrel's Heath, England), using an 8.5 -inch ( $22-\mathrm{cm}$ ) reflector, made the following relevant notes: (1) "April 6, 09:10-30: The three spots on N. edge SEB almost black." (So at least one observer thought SEBn spots other than Sargent's were extremely dark.) (2) "May 14, 08:45: Black spot on N. edge SEB just p. CM." (CM1 = $253^{\circ}$-so this was Sargent's spot.) (3) "June 6, 08:48-09:10: One of the finest white spots I've ever seen on Jupiter now on N. edge SEB-almost splitting the belt completely. Another smaller w.s. [white spot] p. the first, and between [is] a dark spot." (First white spot transit at $08: 54, \mathrm{Ll}=286^{\circ}$. Final stage of Sargent's spot.) (4) "June 24, 08:40-09:40: Same white and dark spots N . edge SEB as seen on 6th; seem wider apart; too late for transit." (Il-
lustrated by a drawing; Figure lc, p. 30). The longitude seems too low for these to be the same spots, but the drawing at least illustrates what those of June 6 looked like.]

To summarize these 1921 observations, there appears to have been strong disturbance in this longitude range as early as 1921 April 25 (a point on Phillips' chart), April 29 (Brindley), and April 30 (Du Martheray). By May 4-5 (Brindley, Sargent, Du Martheray) there was a dark sector with a "step-up" $20^{\circ}$ preceding the track of the later black spot- a typical but very dark disturbance in the SEBn. Sargent [4] timed the transit of this step-up on May $4\left(\mathrm{L1}=197^{\circ} .5\right)$ and May $9\left(209^{\circ} .3\right)$, so it had about the same drift rate as the later spot.

Sargent's spot itself (May 16 - June 3) was independently recorded as a black spot on May 14 (Attkins) and drawn as a very dark streak on the SEBn on May 21 (Du Martheray); in this drawing, also, it looks like an intrinsic SEB feature.

Subsequently, two white spots (one of them spectacular) appeared near the site of the former black spot, as noted on June 6 and 24 (Attkins).

Prominent disturbances at other longitudes on the SEBn were also drawn; for example, by Brindley (April 21, Memoir Fig. III.6) and Porthouse (May 15, Fig. IV.5).

Therefore it appears likely that Sargent's spot was just part of a region of enhanced meteorological activity within the SEBn, which was continuing to produce slow-moving, short-lived, conspicuous spots, as the final fling of the 1919/20 SEB Revival.

## CONCLUSION

Almost all dark spots observed on Jupiter are of recurrent types in fixed latitudes belonging to permanent currents. The typical forms, circulations, and lifetimes of these spots are well established. Very dark spots almost all belong to the following classes of feature [2]:

- South Tropical Disturbances (points of recirculation).
- SEBn dark spots (in SEB Revivals).
- SEBn dark "projections" (in the 19th century).
- NEBs dark "projections" (bluish hotspots).
- NEBn "barges" (cyclonic) (and dark spots in NEB Revivals in the 19th century).
- NTeBs jetstream spots (small, anticyclonic).
- NTeBn dark spots (cyclonic).

Also, very dark spots may appear briefly in cyclonic disturbances within the SEB and NEB, especially in SEB Revivals and NEB Revivals. I have argued that all Hill's candidate spots belonged to these categories, except for that of 1895 which was probably a Little Red Spot.

There are no records of spots which appear likely to have been impacts. Therefore we can put upper limits on the frequencies of impacts of different sizes.

In the continuous record of observations of Jupiter from 1878 to 1994, a span of 116 years, there were no outstanding records of large black sudden-onset spots like the largest S-L9 impact sites G or L. Allowing for about one third of the time being lost due to unobservability during solar conjunction, the frequency of such large impacts is less than one per 80 years.

In the detailed BAA records of 18911943 searched by Hill, and also 19721994 for which the author has been responsible, giving a total of 74 years, anomalous black spots could have been picked out at a smaller scale, comparable to impacts E or Q1. Again, it appears likely that none were detected. As these smaller impacts would be shorter-lived, let us assume that two-thirds might be missed due to solar conjunction, poor observing conditions, or confusion with other spots; then the frequency of these smaller impacts is less than one per 25 years.
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Figure 1. Drawings of Jupiter in 1921 (south is up):
a (top). 1921 MAY 05, 08h45m GMAT, CM1 $=273^{\circ}$ Du Martheray. On the SEBn edge, the very dark "step-up" on the p. side is probably Sargent's "angle" feature, a precursor of Sargent's spot.
b (middle). 1921 MAY 21, 08h30m GMAT, CM1 = $269^{\circ}$; Du Martheray. The very dark streak on SEBn is Sargent's spot.
c (bottom). 1921 JUN 24, 09n GMAT, CM1 = $250^{\circ}$; Attkins. The two bright spots, flanking a dark one, resembled a cluster seen on JUN 06 which was the final stage of Sargent's spot.

# J.H. Schroeter's "Small Dark Black Spots" ON JUPITER IN 1785-1786 

By: Thomas Dobbins and William Sheehan

While doing research on the German astronomer J. H. Schroeter (Figure 1, lower right), the writers were able to obtain a copy of Schroeter's rare publication Beobachtungen verschiedener schwarzdunkler kleiner Flecken des Jupiters. The term "schwarzdunkler kleiner Flecken" [black dark small spots] is unusual, and aroused our suspicion. We were finally able to track down this essay, which is most remarkable-it describes Schroeter's observations made in October/November 1785 and also in February 1786 of small dark circular spots which appear uncannily like the impact features which appeared in July 1994 when Comet Shoemaker-Levy 9 struck Jupiter.

Here are some excerpts translated from Schroeter's admittedly rather convoluted German:
"On October 26, 1785, at 8 h 25 m 11 s Sidereal Time, in steady air, using $150 \times$ on my 4 -foot Herschelian telescope, I saw while awaiting the exit of the first satellite from the disk, and with entire distinctness, two small dark black spots, one close beside the other ... the larger was as large almost as the shadow of the first satellite itself; their diameters were therefore 1 ".5. Both were approximately $7 / 12$ of the distance from the limb, north of the middle of the long ago observed belt [SEB] and moving westward in the rotation period assigned by Cassini." (See Figure 2, p. 32)
"On November 15 ... I was astonished to find two different sized large round black shadow-spots....Both were jet-black and had the same strongly-defined round shape, and moved to the west, though because of my surprise, and the fact that they were already past the middle of the disk, and the seeing was indifferent, I could not absolutely determine their rotations." (See Figure 3, p. 32)
"November 21. Two similar, but somewhat smaller round black shadow-points." (See Figure 4, p. 32)

The observations of February 1786 are even more remarkable (Figures 5-8, p. 32).

We believe that we have found the most compelling evidence yet culled from the historical record of events like the impacts of Shoemaker-Levy 9 in July 1994.

Certainly a first reading of Schroeter's monograph evoked powerful memories of the authors' first glimpse of the "A" impact site on the evening of July 19, 1994.

We caution readers that a more mundane explanation is possible. Since 1911, the southern edge of the North Equatorial Belt (NEBs)
has been the site of an entire family of dark spots and associated festoons extending well into the Equatorial Zone (EZ). Jose Olivarez noted in examining the historical record that a mysterious south-to-north inversion occurred on Jupiter in 1911, in which the projections and festoons migrated from the SEBn (South Equatorial Belt, north component) to the NEBs. During the nineteenth century, the projections and festoons emanated from the SEBn. Given the location of Schroeter's spots in the EZ adjacent to the SEB it does give pause that unusually dark blue festoons could have been responsible for Schroeter's observations.

On the other hand, such an explanation is seemingly at odds with Schroeter's depictions of round spots completely detached from the adjacent SEB. Also, Schroeter failed to record similar features in later series of observations made in the 1780 s and 1790 s . Olivarez's blue spots and festoons are persistent, quasi-permanent features-one has been followed for more than eleven years now; Schroeter's spots of 1785-86 appear to have dissipated in a matter of days.

Impact sites or blue festoons? At the moment, we cannot decide; the whole question, in our view, remains open. Whatever the final verdict, Schroeter's records are, in our opinion, of more than passing interest; they are the only eighteenth-century records of intensely dark spots on the planet, and whether serendipitously observed impacts or an early outbreak of SEBn festoons, they should be carefully weighed by students of the planet, its meteorology, and its possible encounters with cometary matter.


Figure 1. Portrait of Johann Hieronymus Schroeter, made in 1791

Figures 2-8. J.H. Schroeter's Drawings of Jovian dark spots in 1785-1786.
(These are normal inverted views, with celestial directions given as follows:

Süd = South; Nord = North;
West $=$ West; and Ost $=$ East $)$


Figure 2. J.H. Schroeter's original engraving of his observation of Jovian spots on October 26, 1785.


Figure 3. J.H. Schroeter's drawing of Jovian spots on November 15, 1785.


Figure 4. J.H. Schroeter's drawing of Jovian spots on November 21, 1785.
:ill
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Figure 5. J.H. Schroeter's drawing of Jovian spots on February $9,1786$.


Figure 6. J.H. Schroeter's drawing of Jovian spots on February 11, 1786.


Figure 7. J.H. Schroeter's drawing of Jovian spots on February 17, 1786.


Figure 8. J.H. Schroeter's drawing of Jovian spots on February 26, 1786.
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# Meteors Section News 

By: Robert D. Lunsford, A.L.P.O. Meteors Recorder

Table 1. Recent A.L.P.O. Meteor Observations; April - June, 1995.

| $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { UT Date } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Observer and Location | Universal Time | Number and Type* of Meteors Seen | Comments $(+N=$ Limiting Magnitude) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr 01 | John Galiagher, NJ | 09:05-09:52 | 2 SPO | +7.4 |
| 02 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-08:00 | None Seen | +4.3 |
|  | Pierre Martin, Ont., Canada | 07:54-08:54 | $3 \mathrm{VIR}, 3 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +5.9 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 09:00-18:00 | 3 ASC, 10 SPO | +4.6 |
| 03 | John Gallagher, NJ | 03:30-05:34 | 1 MLE, 2 SLE, 1 VIR, 1 EDR, 5 SPO | +7.5 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-09:30 | 1 BPA | +4.2 |
|  | Pierre Martin, Ont., Canada | 07:19-08:19 | 4 SPO | +5.8 |
| 04 | George Zay, CA | 03:25-12:35 | 5 VIR, 2 ASC, 32 SPO | +5.8 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 06:00-12:30 | $3 \mathrm{VIR}, 4 \mathrm{ASC}, 1 \mathrm{SLE}, 56 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +6.4 |
| 05 | John Gallagher, NJ | 03:45-05:50 | $1 \mathrm{VIR}, 2 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +7.5 |
|  | Pierre Martin, Ont., Canada | 04:35-12:35 | $8 \mathrm{VIR}, 1$ ASC, 33 SPO | +5.9 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 07:15-12:30 | 2 VIR, 3 KSE, 2 ASC, 1 NSC, 46 SPO | +6.7 |
| 06 | Pierre Martin, Ont., Canada | 04:37-12:31 | $7 \mathrm{VIR}, 2$ ASC, 36 SPO | +5.9 |
|  | Graham Wolt, New Zealand | 07:00-15:00 | $6 \mathrm{BPA}, 1 \mathrm{ASC}, 22 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +4.3; $5 \%$ cloudy |
| 08 | John Gallagher, NJ | 04:50-06:52 | 1 EDR | +7.4 |
|  | Graham Wolt, New Zealand | 11:30-14:00 | 2 ASC, 2 BPA, 1 VIR, 10 SPO | +6.6 |
| 09 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 12:00-14:00 | $2 \mathrm{ASC}, 4 \mathrm{BPA}, 1 \mathrm{VIR}, 5 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +4.4; 5\% cloudy |
| 10 | Pierre Martin, Ont., Canada | 08:10-09:10 | 1 ASC, 3 SPO | +5.8 |
| 11 | Pierre Martin, Ont., Canada | 08:32-09:12 | 1 ASC, 2 SPO | +5.8 |
| 13 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-11:00 | 2 ASC, 1 BPA, 9 SPO | +4.7 |
| 14 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-11:00 | $3 \mathrm{ASC}, 2 \mathrm{BPA}, 11 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +4.5 |
| 15 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-11:00 | 3 ASC, 1 VIR, 9 SPO | +4.3 |
| 16 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-11:00 | $3 \mathrm{ASC}, 1 \mathrm{PPU}, 1 \mathrm{VIR}, 8 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +4.2 |
| 17 | John Gallagher, NJ | 04:15-06:02 | 2 SPO | +6.8 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-14:00 | $8 \mathrm{ASC}, 3 \mathrm{PPU}, 4 \mathrm{VIR}, 21 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +4.2 |
| 18 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-10:00 | 3 ASC, 2 PPU, 1 VIR, 11 SPO | +4.2 |
| 22 | Wanda Simmons, FL | 03:26-04:38 | $1 \mathrm{LYR}, 1 \mathrm{FBO}, 5 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +5.5 |
|  | Daniel Simmons, FL | 03:26-04:38 | 1 LYR, 1 FBO, 5 SPO | +5.5 |
|  | Kari Simmons, FL | 03:26-04:38 | 1 LYR, 1 FBO, 3 SPO | +5.6 |
|  | Milton Hays, FL | 03:26-04:38 | $1 \mathrm{FBO}, 3 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +5.5 |
|  | George Zay, CA | 04:25-12:10 | 17 LYR, 1 ASC, 4 VIR, 26 SPO | +5.8 |
|  | Richard Taibi, MD | 05:25-08:11 | 4 LYR, 6 SPO | +5.3 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 05:30-12:30 | 34 LYR, 3 MVI, 4 VIR, 1 ASC, 1 ASC, 3 ETA, 31 SPO | +6.2 |
|  | James Riggs, CA | 05:30-11:00 | 6 LYR, 24 SPO | +4.5 |
|  | Norman McLeod, FL | 06:15-07:30 | 5 SPO | +5.5 |
|  | J.Kenneth Eakins, CA | 07:00-11:00 | 11 LYR, 0 SPO | +5.2 |
|  | George Gliba, MD | 07:35-08:45 | 5 LYR, 5 SPO | +5.0 |
| 23 | Robert Lunsford, CA | 03:00-05:00 | 1 SPO | +5.0 |
|  | Norman McLeod, FL | 06:11-07:42 | 7 LYR, 3 VIR, 2 SPO | +6.8 |
|  | John Gallagher, NJ | 08:37-09:12 | 2 LYR, | +7.0 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 09:00-12:00 | $1 \mathrm{ASC}, 7 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +5.7 |
| 25 | Pierre Martin, Ont., Canada | 05:04-06:07 | $1 \mathrm{ABO}, 1 \mathrm{ASC}, 2 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +5.9 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 08:00-11:30 | 6 SPO | +5.6 |
| 26 | John Gallagher, NJ | 04:25-06:33 | 1 ABO, 1 DDR, 1 NOP, 1 SPO | +7.3 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 08:55-12:10 | 3 ABO, 1 ASC, 4 ETA, 1 WCA, 29 SPO | +6.8 |
| 27 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-08:30 | 1 ASC | +4.8 |

Table 1 continued on pp. 38-40 with notes on p. 40.

Table 1-Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { UT Date } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Observer and Location | Universal Time | Number and Type* of Meteors Seen | Comments $\langle+N=$ Limiting Magnitude) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APR 28 | George Zay, CA | 05:24-12:08 | $5 \mathrm{VIR}, 3 \mathrm{ETA}, 3$ ASC, 1 NOP, 15 SPO | +5.8 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-09:00 | 1 ASC, 2 VIR, 2 SPO | +4.7 |
| 29 | John Gallagher, NJ | 04:40-05:43 | $5 \mathrm{ABO}, 1 \mathrm{AVB}, 2 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +7.2 |
|  | Richard Taibi, MD | 06:50-09:05 | 3 SPO | +5.5 |
|  | George Zay, CA | 10:21-12:08 | 4 ETA, 1 SPO | +5.4 |
| 30 | John Gallagher, NJ | 04:35-06:39 | $2 \mathrm{ABO}, 3 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +7.5 |
|  | George Zay, CA | 10:00-12:08 | $3 \mathrm{ETA}, 10 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +5.8 |
| MAY 01 | George Zay, CA | 03:53-11:47 | 5 VIR, 2 ASC, 1 ABO, <br> 1 ETA, 1 NOP, 19 SPO | +5.7 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-10:00 | 1 ASC, 2 VIR, 1 SPO | +4.5 |
| 02 | David Holman, CA | 04:21-11:12 | 1 ABO, 2 VIR, 4 ETA, 16 SPO | +6.3 |
|  | George Zay, CA | 06:20-11:11 | $4 \mathrm{ETA}, 2 \mathrm{NOP}, 1 \mathrm{VIR}, 5 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +5.9 |
|  | Robert Lunsiord, CA | 06:30-11:15 | 8 ETA, 2 ASC, 2 MVI, 1 ACA, 17 SPO | +6.5; 30\% cloudy |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-12:00 | 7 ASC, 4 VIR, 4 SPO | +4.8 |
|  | Mark Davis, SC | 07:41-08:41 | 1 ETA, 1 ASC, 9 SPO | +5.4; 10\% cloudy |
|  | Norman McLeod, FL | 07:51-09:30 | 3 ETA, 1 VIR, 1 ABO, 5 SPO | +6.8 |
|  | James Riggs, CA | 11:15-12:35 | 4 ETA, 20 SPO | +4.0 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 15:00-17:00 | $3 \mathrm{CAU}, 18 \mathrm{ETA}, 7 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +4.8 |
| 03 | Robert Lunsford, CA | 04:00-12:00 | 21 ETA, 4 ASC, 7 MVI, 2 ABO, 1 NOP, 28 SPO | +6.4 |
|  | David Holman, CA | 04:00-11:55 | 15 ETA, 3 VIR, 1 ASC, 40 SPO | +6.3 |
|  | George Zay, CA | 04:03-12:00 | 3 VIR, 3 NOP, 2 ASC, 32 SPO | +6.0 |
|  | Mark Davis, SC | 06:08-09:23 | 8 ETA, 3 ASC, 14 SPO | +5.9 |
|  | Felix Martinez, Cuba | 06:30-07:30 | 1 ETA, 3 SPO | +6.0 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-10:00 | 5 ASC, 1 VIR, 4 SPO | +4.6 |
|  | Norman McLeod, FL | 07:36-09:35 | 11 ETA, 2 VIR, 8 SPO | +6.8 |
|  | James Riggs, CA | 09:05-12:15 | 18 ETA, 43 SPO | +6.0 |
| 04 | John Graddon, Cuba | 06:00-08:30 | 6 ETA, 9 SPO | +6.0 |
|  | Pierre Martin, Ont. Canada | 06:02-08:02 | 3 ETA, 1 NOP, 5 SPO | +5.6 |
|  | David Holman, CA | 07:15-11:55 | $16 \mathrm{ETA}, 1 \mathrm{ABO}, 1 \mathrm{ASC}, 2 \mathrm{VIR}, 33 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +6.4 |
|  | George Zay, CA | 07:17-11:15 | $6 \mathrm{ETA}, 2$ NOP, 1 ABO, 1 VIR, 18 SPO | +6.0 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 07:30-12:00 | 21 ETA, 2 ASC, 1 MVI, <br> 1 ABO, 1 NOP, 1 GCA, 24 SPO | +6.4 |
| 05 | Mark Davis, SC | 07:45-08:30 | 2 ETA, 6 SPO | +5.6 |
|  | Robert Hays, IL | 08:00-09:40 | 4 ETA, 12 SPO | +6.5 |
|  | Felix Martinez, Cuba | 08:15-08:30 | 1 ETA | $+6.0$ |
| 06 | John Gallagher, NJ | 06:20-08:48 | 6 ETA, 5 SPO | +7.5 |
|  | Norman McLeod, FL | 06:53-09:38 | $17 \mathrm{ETA}, 4 \mathrm{VIR}, 3 \mathrm{ASC}, 10 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +6.7 |
|  | Richard Taibi, MD | 07:29-09:00 | 4 ETA, 10 SPO | +5.8 |
|  | Mark Davis, SC | 07:33-09:15 | 12 ETA, 2 ASC, 20 SPO | +5.5 |
|  | Robert Hays, IL | 08:00-09:35 | $5 \mathrm{ETA}, 14 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +6.5 |
| 07 | John Gallagher, NJ | 06:25-08:33 | $2 \mathrm{ETA}, 1$ LYR, 6 SPO | +7.5 |
|  | Norman McLeod, FL | 07:15-09:42 | $14 \mathrm{ETA}, 3$ ASC, 11 SPO | +6.5 |
| 08 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-11:00 | 2 ASC, 1 VIR, 4 SPO | +4.0 |
|  | Norman McLeod, FL | 07:17-09:44 | 14 ETA, 2 VIR, 1 ASC, 6 SPO | +6.8 |
|  | Mark Davis, SC | 07:40-09:10 | 7 ETA .16 SPO | +5.7 |
| 09 | Norman McLeod, FL | 07:02-08:50 | 7 ETA, 2 ASC, 2 ABO, 2 SPO | +7.2 |
|  | George Zay, CA | 08:43-11:54 | 15 ETA, 1 NOP, 1 ASC, 20 SPO | +5.8 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 09:00-11:00 | $2 \mathrm{ASC}, 4 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +4.8 |
| 10 | Graham Wolt, New Zealand | 07:00-10:00 | 4 ASC, 1 VIR, 7 SPO | +4.4 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 17:00-18:00 | $7 \mathrm{ETA}, 2 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +4.5 |
| 11 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 07:00-10:00 | $2 \mathrm{ASC}, 5 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +4.3 |
|  | George Zay, CA | 08:29-11:52 | 11 ETA, 1 ASC, 1 NOP, 15 SPO | +5.6 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 08:45-11:45 | 10 ETA, 2 ASC, 1 MVI, 3 IAA, 1 NOP, 20 SPO | +5.9 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 15:00-16:00 | 6 ETA, 3 SPO | +4.3 |

Table 1 coniinued on pp. 39-40 with notes on p. 40 .

Table 1-Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { UT Date } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Observer and Locat | Universal Time $\qquad$ | Number and Type* of Meteors Seen | Comments ( $+\mathrm{N}=$ Limiting Magnitude) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAY 12 | George Zay, CA | 09:28-11:50 | $3 \mathrm{ETA}, 14 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +5.5 |
| 13 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 15:00-16:00 | 4 ETA, 2 SPO | +4.0 |
| 16 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand Graham Wolf, New Zealand | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 07:00-08:00 } \\ & \text { 15:00-17:00 } \end{aligned}$ | None Seen <br> 5 ETA, 4 SPO | $\begin{aligned} & +4.2 \\ & +4.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | John Gallagher, NJ Graham Wolf, New Zealand Graham Wolf, New Zealand | $\begin{aligned} & 04: 25-05: 00 \\ & 07: 00-12: 00 \\ & 15: 00-18: 00 \end{aligned}$ | ```1 SPO 3 ASC, 1 VIR, 4 SPO 8ETA, 7 SPO``` | $\begin{aligned} & +6.4 \\ & +4.4 \\ & +4.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand Graham Wolf, New Zealand Graham Wolf, New Zealand | $\begin{aligned} & 07: 00-09: 00 \\ & 07: 00-10: 00 \\ & 15: 00-18: 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{ASC}, 3 \mathrm{SPO} \\ & 2 \text { ASC, } 1 \mathrm{VIR}, 4 \mathrm{SPO} \\ & 3 \text { ETA, } 8 \text { SPO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +4.7 \\ & +4.5 \\ & +4.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand Graham Wolf, New Zealand | $\begin{aligned} & 06: 00-12: 00 \\ & 15: 00-16: 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { ASC, } 1 \text { VIR, } 9 \text { SPO } \\ & 1 \text { ETA, } 2 \text { SPO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +4.6 \\ & +4.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand Graham Wolf, New Zealand | $\begin{aligned} & 06: 00-10: 00 \\ & 15: 00-18: 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { ASC, } 1 \text { SPO } \\ & 2 \text { ETA, } 7 \text { SPO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +4.5 \\ & +4.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 23 | John Gallagher, NJ Graham Wolf, New Zealand | $\begin{aligned} & 04: 50-06: 55 \\ & 15: 00-18: 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { NOP, } 1 \text { SOP, } 3 \text { SPO } \\ & 1 \text { ETA, } 5 \text { SPO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +7.4 \\ +4.6 \end{array}$ |
| 24 | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 15:00-18:00 | 9 SPO | +4.8 |
| 26 | George Zay, CA | 07:34-11:32 | 1 NOP, 1 KSC, 1 CSC, 16 SPO | -5.8 |
| 28 | Mark Davis, SC | 02:57-03:57 | 4 SPO | +5.1; $15 \%$ cloudy |
| 29 | Damien Mathew, ND <br> Graham Wolf, New Zealand George Zay, CA Robert Lunsford, CA | $\begin{aligned} & 04: 02-05: 02 \\ & 06: 00-11: 00 \\ & 07: 33-11: 32 \\ & 08: 30-11: 30 \end{aligned}$ | ```4 SPO 1 ASC, 2 SPO 1 NOP, 3 OSC, 3 GSA 1 NOP, 1 OSC, 26 SPO``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +6.3; 30\% cloudy } \\ & +4.6 ; 5 \% \text { cloudy } \\ & +5.7 \\ & +6.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 30 | Robert Lunsford, CA Graham Wolf, New Zealand | $\begin{aligned} & 07: 30-11: 30 \\ & 08: 00-18: 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { NOP, } 4 \text { CSC, } 34 \text { SPO } \\ & 4 \text { ASC, } 8 \text { SPO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +6.9 \\ & +4.5 ; 5 \% \text { cloudy } \end{aligned}$ |
| 31 | John Gallagher, NJ Graham Wolf, New Zealand Robert Lunsford, CA | $\begin{aligned} & 04: 45-06: 49 \\ & 06: 00-14: 00 \\ & 07: 30-11: 30 \end{aligned}$ | ```4 SPO 3 ASC, 5 SPO 3 NOP, 3 CSC, 1 GSA, 30 SPO``` | $\begin{aligned} & +7.2 \\ & +4.8 \\ & +6.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| JuN 01 | Robert Lunsford, CA | 08:00-11:30 | 4 NOP, 1 OSC, 32 SPO | +6.6 |
| 05 | George Zay, CA <br> Graham Wolf, New Zealand Robert Lunsford, CA | $\begin{aligned} & 06: 00-11: 28 \\ & 06: 00-14: 00 \\ & 07: 45-11: 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1 TOP, 31 SPO <br> 3 ASC, 3 TOP, 8 SPO <br> 2 TOP, 3 GSA, 40 SPO | $\begin{aligned} & +5.8 \\ & +4.3 \\ & +6.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 06 | George Zay, CA Robert Lunsford, CA | $\begin{aligned} & 05: 28-08: 11 \\ & 09: 30-11: 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { GSA, } 1 \text { CSC, } 12 \text { SPO } \\ & 1 \text { CSC, } 19 \text { SPO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +5.8 \\ & +6.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 07 | Mark Davis, SC <br> Norman McLeod, FL <br> Robert Lunsford, CA <br> Graham Wolt, New Zealand | $\begin{aligned} & 05: 04-07: 04 \\ & 06: 57-09: 26 \\ & 08: 00-11: 35 \\ & 10: 00-14: 00 \end{aligned}$ | ```2 SAG, 15 SPO 1 ASC, 17 SPO 3 GSA, 1 TOP, 34 SPO 1 TOP, 1 SPO``` | $\begin{aligned} & +5.6 \\ & +7.2 \\ & +6.7 \\ & +4.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | John Gallagher, NJ | 05:25-07:06 | $1 \mathrm{JLY}, 1$ DCG, 1 SPO | +6.6 |
| 16 | John Gallagher, NJ | 05:10-07:43 | $1 \mathrm{JLY}, 4 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +7.1 |
| 17 | John Gallagher, NJ | 07:05-08:23 | 2 SPO | +6.2 |
| 18 | John Gallagher, NJ | 05:15-06:47 | 1 TOP, 1 BCG, 1 SPO | +5.7 |
| 19 | John Gallagher, NJ Graham Wolf, New Zealand Robert Lunsford, CA | $\begin{aligned} & 03: 50-05: 56 \\ & 06: 00-12: 00 \\ & 09: 15-11: 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1 TAQ, $1 \mathrm{JAQ}, 3 \mathrm{SPO}$ <br> 2 CSC, 7 TOP, 12 SPO <br> $2 \mathrm{JLY}, 11 \mathrm{SPO}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +7.1 \\ & +4.6 \\ & +5.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | John Gallagher, NJ <br> Graham Wolf, New Zealand <br> Robert Lunsford, CA | $\begin{aligned} & 04: 50-06: 52 \\ & 06: 00-14: 00 \\ & 07: 30-11: 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{DCG}, 1 \mathrm{SPO} \\ & 8 \text { TOP, } 23 \mathrm{SPO} \\ & 1 \mathrm{JLY}, 1 \mathrm{LSA}, 24 \mathrm{SPO} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +7.1 \\ & +4.6 \\ & +5.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21 | Robert Lunsford, CA | 07:30-11:30 | $3 \mathrm{JLY}, 1 \mathrm{LSA}, 1$ TAQ, 39 SPO | +5.9 |
| 22 | Pierre Martin, Ont., Canada Graham Wolf, New Zealand | $\begin{aligned} & 04: 36-05: 37 \\ & 06: 00-14: 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \mathrm{SPO} \\ & 5 \text { TOP, } 26 \mathrm{SPO} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +5.8 \\ & +4.6 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 1 continued on p. 40 with notes.

Table 1-Continued.

| $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { UT Date } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Observer and Location | Universal $\qquad$ | Number and Type* of Meteors Seen | Comments $(+N=$ Limiting Magnitude) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JUN 23 | George Zay, CA | 04:09-11:23 | 1 TOP, 39 SPO | +5.8 |
|  | Pierre Martin, Ont., Canada | 04:57-05:55 | 5 SPO | +5.6 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 06:00-14:00 | 4 LSA, 4 TOP, 22 SPO | +4.5 |
| 24 | David Holman, CA | 04:54-07:54 | 1 LSA, 7 SPO | +6.3 |
|  | Graham Woif, New Zealand | 06:00-14:00 | 2 LSA, 1 TOP, 23 SPO | +4.8 |
| 25 | David Holman, CA | 04:48-11:20 | 2 TOP, 32 SPO | +6.4 |
|  | Graham Woli, New Zealand | 06:00-12:00 | 3 LSA, 2 TOP, 18 SPO | +4.5 |
| 26 | John Gallagher, NJ | 04:20-04:51 | None Seen | +6.0 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 06:00-14:00 | 3 LSA, 2 TOP, 23 SPO | +4.6 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 08:30-11:30 | 3 LSA, 1 TAQ, 37 SPO | +6.8 |
| 27 | Graham Wolt, New Zealand | 06:00-14:00 | 2 LSA, 27 SPO | +4.5 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 06:30-07:30 | None Seen | +6.3; 75\% cloudy |
| 28 | George Zay, CA | 04:02-11:30 | 5 TOP, 1 JBO, $1 \mathrm{LSA}, 44 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +5.9 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 07:30-11:30 | 3 TAQ, 48 SPO | +6.4 |
| 29 | John Galliagher, NJ | 04:50-07:00 | $1 \mathrm{JLY}, 1 \mathrm{BCG}, 1 \mathrm{JPE}, 1 \mathrm{RSA}, 1 \mathrm{SPO}$ | +7.4 |
|  | Robert Lunsford, CA | 07:30-11:30 | 35 SPO | +6.5 |
|  | James Riggs, CA | 10:10-11:40 | 25 SPO | +5.7 |
| 30 | George Zay, CA | 04:03-11:29 | 3 LSA, 2 TOP, 27 SPO | +5.9 |
|  | Graham Wolf, New Zealand | 15:00-18:00 | 1 LSA, 6 SPO | +5.3 |

*Key to Abbreviations

| ABO | Alpha Bootids | GCA | Gamma Capricornids | NOP | North Ophiuchids |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ACA | Alpha Cor. Australids | GSA | Gamma Sagittarids | NSC | Nu Scorpids |
| ASC | Alpha Scorpids | IAA | Iras-Araki-Alcock | OSC | Omega Scorpids |
| AVB | Alpha Virginids "B" | JAQ | June Aquarids | PPU | Pi Puppids |
| BCG | Beta Cygnids | JBO | June Bootids | SAG | Sagittarids |
| BPA | Beta Pavonids | JLY | June Lyrids | SLE | Sigma Leonids |
| CAU Corona Australids | JPE | July Pegasids | SOP | South Ophiuchids |  |
| DCG | Delta Cygnids | KSF | Kappa Serpentids | SPO | Sporadics |
| DDR | Delta Draconids | LSA | Lambda Sagittarids | TAQ | Tau Aquarids |
| EDR | Epsilon Draconids | LYR | Lyrids | TOP | Theta Ophiuchids |
| ETA | Eta Aquarids | MLE | March Leonids | VIR | Virginids |
| FBO | Phi Bootids | MVI | Mu Virginids | WCA Omega Capricornids |  |

## In Memoriam: Kermit Rhea, 1919-1994

## Notes by Jeff Beish

Kermit Rhea, a long-standing A.L.P.O. member, passed away in December, 1994 at the age of 75 . Kermit previously had a stroke while preparing for the impact of Comet Shoemaker-Levy 9 with Jupiter and was recovering when he suffered heart failure.

Kermit was born on November 30, 1919 near Walcott, Arkansas. He was a veteran of World War II, in which he lost his right eye. In his later years Kermit was involved in therapy for the seeing-impaired and was Assistant Field Director (ARC) with the Veteran's Administration. He also was President of the American Corrective Therapy Association (1969-70).

Kermit Rhea's interest in astronomy began as a teenager while reading of the exploits of Percival Lowell and Clyde Tombaugh. He gained his B.S.E.E. degree from Arkansas State College and his Master's from the University of Arkansas.

Kermit was an A.L.P.O. member for nearly 25 years, making his own telescopes from homemade lenses and mirrors. He took part in the International Mars Patrol since the early 1970's and made many friends among our. members. Even though he had sight in only one eye, he regularly contributed to several programs; besides Mars, they included lunar eclipses, meteors, comets and others. He will indeed be missed.

## Hyakutake Harvest

The surprise event of Spring, 1996, was the sudden passage through our skies of Comet 1996 B2 Hyakutake. Below, and on the front cover, is a selection of observations of this spectacular object submitted to Comets Recorder Don E. Machholz.


Figure 1. A slitless spectrum of Comet Hyakutake, by Tom Buchanan, 1996 MAR 23, 05h10m UT, with a blazed diffraction grating, 600 grooves/ $\mathrm{mm}, 135-\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{f} / 1.9$, Kodak 2415TP Film, 4 -min exp. Violet to left, red to right.

Figure 2 (right). Photograph of coma of Comet Hyakutake by John D. Sabia, 1996 Mar 28, 03h10m UT. $9.5-\mathrm{in}$ (24.13-cm) Alvan Clark refractor, $\mathrm{f} / 15,30 \mathrm{sec}$. on Kodak Royal Gold ISO 1000 Film. North to upper left; the slar in upper left is SAO 5036, magnitude +8.6 . Note the sharp "spike" extending to the left of the nucleus region.

Figure 3 (below). Tail of Comet Hyakutake, photographed by Gus Johnson, 1996 APR 04, 01 h00m UT, during a total lunar eclipse. 135$\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{f} / 2.8,2.5$ minutes on ISO 400 film. North is to the upper right and the tail extends to Alpha Persei (Mag. +1.8). The field of view is ap-
 proximately $6^{\circ} .5$ on a side.


Figure 4 (right, upper). Drawing of Comet
 Hyakutake by Detlev Niechoy of Göttingen, Germany. 1996 APR $16,19 \mathrm{~h} 54 \mathrm{~m}$ UT. 2.4-in ( $6-\mathrm{cm}$ ) telescdpe, 33X. The star to the upper right of the nucleus region is probably SAO 56000, magnitude +7.5. North is to the upper right. (Originally a negative drawing, converted here to a positive.)

Figure 5 (right, lower). Drawing of Comet Hyakutake by Gérard Teichert of Hattstatt, France, on 1996 APR 16, 20h23m UT, just 29 minutes after Figure 4 ; the comet's motion is shown by the apparent shift of the star. $28-\mathrm{cm}$ Schmidt-Cassegrain, $112 \times, 165 \times$, and $224 \times$. North is to the upper right. (Originally a negative drawing, converted here to a positive.)


## Edited by Jose Olivarez

# To a Rocky Moon, A Geologist's History of Lunar Exploration. 

By Don E. Wilhelms.
The University of Arizona Press, 1230 North Park Avenue, Suite 102, Tucson, AZ 857194140. 1993. 477 pages. (ISBN 0-8165-14437).

## Reviewed by Jose Olivarez

The "story behind the story" of the lunar landings is eloquently described in this definitive account of how we learned the geological history of the Moon. Dr. Wilhelms was a member of a scientific team responsible for assembling an overall picture of the Moon's structure and history during the "Apollo Era" in order to recommend where on the Moon field work should be conducted. In this book, he details the site-selection process, and draws in related events concerning mission operations to show how the events affected the course of the scientific program. Wilhelms discusses all six landing sites in detail and tells the behind-the-scenes story of telescopic and spacecraft investigations of the Moon before, during, and after the manned landings.

To a Rocky Moon leaves the reader interested in the space program, the history of science, or the application of geology to planetology, with a better understanding of how the Apollo landing sites were selected. The book is also replete with revealing statements about what has made the Moon what it is today. For example, this reader learned that the Moon's famed Alpine Valley is a graben (fault valley); that if there is a volcanic caldera on the Moon, the crater Vitello ought to be it; and that the youngest prominent crater on the Moon is probably Tycho at 109 million years old. These include Dr. Wilhelms' views that "the moon is not a primordial object but an evolving one"; that "the moon is neither cosmic exotica nor a little earth"; and that "cosmic impacts rule the Moon".

To a Rocky Moon contains 47 small black-and-white photographs, but it would benefit by many more. Also, a second edition of this book would be greatly enhanced by the inclusion of a larger version of the ACIC photomosaic map of the lunar near side, perhaps in the form of a folding plate. This map appears as the frontispiece of the current edition, but is too small to serve as an adequate guide to the location of the many lunar sites discussed in the book. Two good companion books to To a Rocky Moon are Peter Cadogan's The Moon: Our Sister Planet (Cambridge University Press, 1981) and Dr. Wilhelms' other massive publication, The Geologic History of the Moon (U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1348).

In conclusion, To a Rocky Moon is both fascinating and easy to read.

# Pauper and Prince: Ritchey, Hale, \& Big American Telescopes. 

By Donald E. Osterbrock.
The University of Arizona Press, 1230 North Park Avenue, Suite 102, Tucson, Arizona 85719-4140. 1993. 359 pages. Price: $\$ 45.00$ cloth (ISBN 0-8165-1199-3).

## Reviewed by Jose Olivarez

This readable and fascinating book by a well-known historian of astronomy focuses on the career of George Willis Ritchey, who perfected the methods of making parabolic mirrors for giant reflecting telescopes and who pioneered their use for astronomical photography. A genius at building large telescopes, Ritchey, like all telescope makers, believed he knew everything about his business. He was an artist and a perfectionist, but these traits made him temperamental and difficult to work with and did not endear him to George Ellery Hale, his employer and observatory director. Rather, they led to tension, estrangement, and finally to Ritchey's dismissal and banishment from the "Big Telescope" scene by Hale.

Using interviews with people who knew Ritchey and letters preserved in archives, Osterbrock reveals the impact of human pride, ambition, and character on the development of scientific knowledge, technology, and "big science". He also details the telescope-building aspects of Hale's life at Yerkes, Mount Wilson, and Palomar Observatories and shows how the relationship between Ritchey and Hale helped the United States to develop the world's premier astronomical facilities.

Osterbrock's willingness to reveal everything about Hale's and Ritchey's pride and character-warts and all-helps to make this book engrossing reading. Indeed, I was left with a changed opinion of the "father of big American astronomy" and more sympathetic towards George Ritchey, whose character prevented him from receiving the honor and renumeration in his lifetime that his genius deserved. Now, thanks to this book, Ritchey, the unsung pauper of his time, can take his place among the giants of American astronomy where he richly deseryes to be.

The only drawback to this book is the lack of examples of Ritchey's photographs. Although his spectacular lunar and celestial photographs taken with the 40 -inch Yerkes refractor, a 24 -inch reflector of his own making, and the 60 -inch reflector at Mt . Wilson are mentioned repeatedly in the text, none are included! Indeed, the University of Arizona Press would do well to include examples of his famous photographs in future editions.

Pauper and Price: Ritchey, Hale, \& Big American Telescopes is sure to become a classic on the history of American astronomy. Get your copy now.

## Edwin Hubble: the Discoverer of the Big Bang Universe.

By Alexander S. Sharov and Igor D. Novikov. Translated by Vitaly Kisin.
Cambridge University Press, 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211. 1993. 187 pages, Illus., bibliographical references and indices. Price $\$ 34.95$ cloth (ISBN 0-521-41617-5)

## Reviewed by Thomas Pinkney Davis

It is ironic that the only popular biography of American astronomer Edwin Hubble was written by Russian authors to commemorate the centennial of his birth. This book is the English edition of a work first published by Nauka Publishing House, Moscow, in 1989. It is by neither professional biographer, nor historians of science, who will not like its nonacademic style. Rather, it is a labor of love by professional astronomers fascinated by the history of their science and with an open admiration of Hubble and his accomplishments.

Hubble is a difficult subject, though. His scientific writing, some 70 papers and books, chronicles his professional life well enough. But his private life is harder to reconstruct since he was not given to extensive personal correspondence. (The papers in the Hubble archives in Pasadena were collected by his widow who decided what should be preserved.) Hubble was, as his former student and colleague Alan Sandage observed, "a very private man." Combine this fact with the situation of Russian researchers working far from what primary sources are extant and one sees the formidable challenge the authors faced.

Edwin Hubble was one of the great observational astronomers of this century (Sandage says since Copernicus). In this book he appears utterly dedicated to his calling, somewhat aloof, stern and paternalistic toward younger colleagues, and possessing a passion for his pipe, fishing, books, and the stars.

The authors have done a creditable job given the constraints of distance and the paucity of primary sources. The book, illustrated with 17 black-and-white plates of Hubble from boyhood through his later years, includes a bibliography of Hubble's publications, reprinted from Nicholas Mayall's brief biographical essay. Three-fourths of the text is devoted to the biography per se. The final quarter places Hubble's work on the red-shift in perspective along with a brief survey of modern astronomy and astrophysics.

Occasionally, however, the translation falters, along with the editing. On page 44 the Royal Astronomical Society is referred to as the "Royal Society". On page 80 we read ". .the absolute luminosity of a star is higher, the shorter the time needed for the star to drop in brightness by three magnitudes." Also, the index frequently refers the reader to the wrong page in the text.

As the authors note in their preface to the Russian edition, Hubble's definitive biography has yet to be written.

## Atlas of Neptune.

By Garry E. Hunt and Patrick Moore,
Cambridge University Press, 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211. 1994. 84 pages, Index, Appendices. Price $\$ 27.95$ cloth (ISBN 0-521-37478-2).

## Reviewed by Richard W. Schmude, Jr.

Atlas of Neptune is divided into 14 chapters describing three areas: Introductory and historical aspects, the Voyager spacecraft, and current knowledge of the Neptune system. It contains over 60 photographs, almost half in color, fourteen other illustrations, six tables, two appendices and a 270 -item index.

The first six chapters are "Introduction", "Neptune in the Solar System", "The discovery of Neptune", "Pre-discovery observations", "Early theories of Neptune" and "Neptune before Voyager", covering introductory and historical aspects. After a brief introduction, we read the fascinating story of Neptune's discovery. Several pre-discovery observations of Neptune, including one probable one by Galileo in 1612, are described. A few of the best Earth-based images of Neptune appear in the sixth chapter. Early attempts at determining Neptune's rotational period and the nature of its interior are also reported.

The seventh chapter, "The Voyager Mission", describes the Voyager-2 spacecraft. The reader is introduced to the different instruments on Voyager-2 which include scientific instruments and related equipment. The Deep Space Network is also described, with its modification made just before the Neptune encounter. The book describes some of the problems of the Voyager-2 spacecraft along with their solution. Hunt and Moore describe well both the engineering and scientific aspects of the Voyager-2 Neptune encounter.

The next five chapters describe our current understanding of the Neptune system. In the eighth chapter, "The Structure of Neptune", we discover that there are two models of Neptune's interior. The structure, temperature, dynamics and chemical composition of Neptune's atmosphere are treated in "The atmosphere of Neptune", along with the Great Dark Spot and several bright clouds. Chapter 10, "The Magnetosphere of Neptune", describes the magnetic field and magnetic interactions with the solar wind. The nature of Neptune's rings are the topic of "Rings of Neptune", while Chapter 12 summarizes our current understanding of Triton and the other satellites. The remaining two chapters, "Beyond Neptune" and "Farewell, Voyager 2", tell the story of Pluto's discovery and the fate of the Voyage- 2 spacecraft respectively.

Atlas of Neptune is well-written and wellillustrated, and I recommended it for those interested in Neptune or the engineering aspects of the Voyager- 2 encounter with Neptune. Educators, wishing to show youngsters the appearances of Neptune and Triton, will also find this book and its large color photographs to be of great value.

## ECLIPSES, ATMOSPHERES, AND GLOBAL CHANGE by Anthony Mallama

This book explains lunar eclipses as never before. Learn what determines the brightness of the eclipsed Moon and how the Earth's atmosphere adds color. See how visual lunar eclipse observations reveal the presence of earthly aerosols and contribute to the study of global temperature change.

The book's second part explores the fascinating and sometimes bizarre circumstances of the eclipses of the other planets' 60 moons. Find out how amateur observations of Galilean satellite eclipses have contributed to the study of Jupiter, including the impact of comet Shoemaker-Levy 9.

Learn about the eclipses of artificial satellites of the Earth, from lowaltitude spacecraft like the Space Shuttle to high-latitude objects in geostationary orbits. See how deep space probes eclipsed by Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn, play a role in exploring the solar system.

ALPO members deduct $\$ 2$ from prices below $\$$
On PC disk (with TXT and BMP files) \$10
On PC disk (TXT) and 49 hardcopy illustrations \$15
Printed 140 page book $\$ 30$
Outside North America add $\$ 2$ shipping
US \$ only
Anthony Mallama, PO Box 442, Bowie, MD, 20718-0442, USA

## Announcements

## Association Affairs

## Staff Changes.

As a result of the A.L.P.O. Board Meeting in July, 1995 (see pp. 184-185 of the previous issue) and more recent events, there are several staff changes to report:

Mercury Section.—Richard Baum is no longer in charge of the Mercury Section. The Executive Director welcomes hearing from members interested in working to make this Section active once again.

Lunar Section.-William Dembowski has been appointed as an Acting General Lunar Recorder. Mr. Dembowski will coordinate all lunar observations not made in connection with any of our existing lunar programs, as well as offer additional training in lunar observation to graduates of our regular Training Program.

Guido E. Santacana has been appointed as an Acting Assistant Lunar Recorder for the Dome Survey. He will handle most correspondence and report writing while Harry Jamieson continues to computerize the existing observations and clean up the dome catalog.

Meteors Section.-Mark Davis has been added to our Staff as an Acting Assistant Meteors Recorder, Mr. Davis will continue to serve the A.L.P.O. as our Solar System Ephemeris publishing and distribution person.

Computing Section.—David Weier has resigned from his post in the Computing Section due to a change in his job situation.

Mars Section.-Daniel Joyce has been appointed as an Acting Assistant Mars Recorder. Dan is now the editor of the Section newsletter, The Martian Chronicle. He also helped with the writing of the 1994-95 apparition report (in this issue).

Jupiter Section.-Jose Olivarez has resigned from his posts as a Jupiter Recorder and A.L.P.O. Associate Director. The A.L.P.O. Board of Directors has also voted to terminate his seat on that body. Mr. Olivarez remains as our Book Review Editor.

Phillip W. Budine has resigned from the A.L.P.O. Board of Directors, as the personal situation that caused him to resign as Director has not changed. Fortunately, Phil remains with us as an Assistant Jupiter Recorder in charge of central-meridian transit timings.

We are pleased to announce the appointment of Wynn Wacker as a provisional Jupiter Recorder and head of the Jupiter Section. Mr. Wacker, a long-time A.L.P.O. member and Jupiter observer, has writter about Jupiter in Astronomy and the Journal of the A.L.P.O.

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/observatory

## Observatory Techniques Magazine

## Observatory Tech Mag for everyone interested in astronomy

Quarterly magazine, 4 issues per year. REQUIRED READING for amateur astronomers. Spectacular articles! Library classified UNIQUE RESEARCH. Over 4 big years of issues-thousands of tascinating topics, sky experiments, how to build, everything from big Dob telescope systems to CCD cameras, imaging \& astrophotography, amateur observatories around the world! Send cash, check, or money order, payable to Mike Otis. Overseas orders: send cash, postal money order or bank draft in USA funds, drawn on any major USA bank (l.e. bank of New York) or send USA currency by registered mail. Phone/FAX: 605-226-1078 Central Time, Email: 72674.471 ©compuserve.com.


Dr. Sanjay S. Limaye, of the Space Sciences and Engineering Center of the University of Wisconsin-Madision, has agreed to be Scientific Advisor to the Jupiter Section. He has worked extensively on tracking the movements of cloud features in Jupiter's atmosphere, including work with Voyager images that revealed previously unknown jetstreams.
A.L.P.O. Publicist.-In addition to changes within our existing observing Sections, we are happy to announce the addition of Ken Poshedly to our staff. Mr. Poshedly's responsibilities will include working with our staff and members to publicize the A.L.P.O. in the major astronomy magazines and regular press. He has a degree in journalism and has worked in media relations and as a reporter for two Cleveland area newspapers.

New A.L.P.O. Committees.-Finally, we announce the formation of two committees of A.L.P.O. members. The first committee will focus on bringing young people into the organization, and we need your ideas on this topic. This committee could form the nucleus of a future Junior Section, such as exists in the British Astronomical Association.

The second committee of A.L.P.O. members will focus on ways to help get the A.L.P.O. Journal out on a more regular schedule, and could form the nucleus of a future Publications Section. Members interested in joining the youth committee should contact
the Executive Director, while members wishing to join the Journal committee should contact the Editor.
A.L.P.O. 1996 Convention.-As this goes to press, the A.L.P.O. Program for our 1996 Convention is being finalized.

We are meeting with the Astronomical League at Rockford College in Rockford, Illinois, on July 25-27 (Thursday-Saturday). Besides amateur papers and exhibits, several professional astronomers will be speakers. Preregistration should be addressed to AstroCon'96 c/o RAA, Inc., 6804 Alvina Rd., Rockford, IL 61101. The post-June 15 registration fee is $\$ 45$ for the first person and $\$ 40$
for each additional person. Tours will visit Yerkes Observatory (July 24 or $25 ; \$ 10.00$ per person), Astro-Physics (no fee; July 24 or 25 ), the Time Museum ( $\$ 4.00$; July 24,25 , or 26 ) and the Discovery Center ( $\$ 3.00$, July 26). The Friday Night Star-B-Q is $\$ 7.00$ for each person, while the Saturday Evening Banquet is $\$ 18.00$ per person (specify prime rib or orange roughy). Dormitory fees at Rockford College are $\$ 16.00$ per night per person (double occupancy) plus a $\$ 6.00$ linen fee. Meal tickets are $\$ 28.50$ per person (Th. lunch and dinner; FriSat. breakfast and lunch). The AL Convention Proceedings are $\$ 7.00$ (the A.L.P.O. will also publish its own Proceedings).

## A.L.P.O. Volunteer Tutors

Below are listed experienced A.L.P.O. members who are available to serve as volunteer tutors to correspond with less-experienced members interested in their specialities. There is no better way to learn than by such one-on-one education. If you want to brush up on any of our observing techniques, write to one of them; be sure to enclose a SASE.

| s L. Benton, Jr | y D. Jamieson | Frank J. Melillo | ${ }^{1}$ John Sanford |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 305 Surrey Road | P.O. Box 16882 | 14 Glen Hollow Dr., Apt. | 2195 Raleigh Avenue |
| Savannah, GA 31410 | Memphis, TN 38186-0882 | E-16, Holtsville, NY 17742 | Costa Mesa, CA 92627- |
| Instrumentation and Equip- | Lunar; Instrumentation and | Solar; Photometry | ! 2940 |
| ment Selection; | Equip. Select.; Software- | Jose Olivarez | CCD images; Photogra |
| Mercury; Venus <br> Gregory L. Bohemier <br> RR2 Box 1306 <br> Canton, MO 63435 <br> Instrumentation and Equipment Selection | Astron. Programming <br> Andrew Paul Johnson 1 York Lane, Knaresborough, North Yorkshire United Kingdom HG5 0AJ Lunar | 1469 North Valleyview Ct., | Andrew M. Sorenson |
|  |  | Wichita, KS 67212 | RR 4, Box 4A |
|  |  | Instrumentation and | ${ }_{\text {, Jefferson, IA 50129-9701 }}$ |
|  |  | Equipment Selection | , Drawing Skills |
|  |  | General Literature | ;Brad Timerson |
|  |  | Fred Pilcher | ,623 Bell Road |
| Bill Dembowski 219 Old Bedford Pike <br> Windber, PA 15963 <br> Lunar Photography | Charles A. Kapral | Illinois College, | , Newark, NY 14513 |
|  | 6601 Hana Road | Jacksonville, IL 62650 | ${ }_{1}$ Instrumentation and |
|  | Edison, NJ 08817 | Minor Planets | - Equipment Selection |
|  | Lunar; Photometry | Zac Pujic | Daniel M. Troiani |
| Richard E. Hill <br> Lunar \& Planetary Lab., <br> University of Arizona, <br> Tucson, AZ 85721 <br> (Internet: rhill@hindmost. <br> Ipl.arizona.edu) <br> Solar | Robert D. Lunsford 161 Vance Street Chula Vista, CA 91910 Meteors | 23 Attungan St. | 629 Verona Ct. |
|  |  | Kingston 4114 | 'Schaumburg, IL 60193 |
|  |  | Queensland, Australia | 'Mars; Video/CCD Imaging |
|  |  | Drawing Skills | 'Matthew Will |
|  | Michael Mattei <br> 11 Coughlin Road <br> Littleton, MA 01460 <br> Drawing Skills; <br> Telescope Making or <br> Enhancement | Timothy J. Robertson | . 2112 Austin Drive |
|  |  | 2010 Hillgate Way, Apt. L \|Simi Valley, CA 93065 | ;Springfield, IL 62704 <br> , Mars; Saturn |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## An Observer's Guide To Comet Hale-Bopp

## When and where to see it, and what to look for

## Only $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 . 0 0}+\mathbf{\$ 2 . 0 0} \mathbf{~ S \& H}$

CA. residents add $\$ 0.87$ Sales Tax Foreign Orders add \$4.00
Also available at the same price:
Messier Marathon
Observer's Guide
MakeWood Products
P.O. Box 1716

Colfax, CA. 95713
by Don Machholz
(916) 346-8963: Visa/Mastercard

An 84-page guide describing comets and Comet Hale-Bopp in particular, this book provides observing hints, suggests special projects, and uses 60 maps to help anyone find the comet on any night through 1998.

## POTBITCATIONS OT FETEASOCNATIONOT 

(All Section Publications on p. 48 must be ordered directly from the appropriate Recorders.) (Checks must be in U.S. funds, payable to an American bank with bank routing number.)
 Order from: Mark A. Davis, 7304 Doar Rd. Awendaw, SC 29429 USA
The A.L.P.O. Solar System Ephemeris: 1996. $\$ 8.00$ in the United States, Canada, and Mexico; $\$ 10.00$ elsewhere (airmail included). Contains tables, graphs, and maps describing the positions and appearances of the Sun, Moon, each major planet, the brighter planetary satellites, Minor Planets, meteors, and comets. Make payment to "A.L.P.O."
 Order from: A.L.P.O., P.O. Box 16131, San Francisco, CA 94116, U.S.A:
An Introductory Bibliography for Solar System Observers. Free for a stamped, self-addressed envelope. A 4-page list of books and magazines about Solar System bodies and how to observe them. The current edition was updated in June, 1996.
 Order from: A.L.P.O. Membership Secretary, P.O. Box 16882, Memphis, TN 38186-0882, U.S.A:
(1) A.L.P.O. Section Directory-1995. Free; just send a stamped, self-addressed envelope. An 8 -page description of each Observing Section's personnel, projects, and publications.
(2) A.L.P.O. Membership Directory. $\$ 5.00$ in North America; $\$ 6.00$ elsewhere. Continuouslyupdated list of members on MS-DOS diskette; specify 3.5 -in or 5.25 -in disk and whether DBASE or ASCII format. Make payment to "A.L.P.O." Provided at the discretion of the Membership Secretary.
 Order from: Walter H. Haas, 2225 Thomas Drive, Las Cruces, NM 88001, U.S.A:
Back issues of The Strolling Astronomer (J.A.L.P.O.). The following are still in stock but may not long remain so. In this list, volume numbers are in italics, issue numbers are not, years are given in parentheses, and prices are $\$ 1.50$ per issue unless otherwise stated. Discounts can be arranged for purchases over $\$ 20$. Make payment to "Walter H. Haas."

1 (1947); 6. 7 (1953); 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8. 8 (1954); 3-4, 5-6, and 7-8. 9 (1955); 1-2.
10 (1956); 5-6, 9-10, and 11-12. 11 (1957); 11-12. 21 (1968-69); 3-4 and 7-8.
23 (1971-72); 3-4, 7-8, 9-10, and 11-12. 25 (1974-76); 1-2, 3-4, and 11-12.
26 (1976-77); 3-4, 5-6, and 11-12 [each \$1.75]. 27 (1977-79); 3-4, and 7-8 [each \$1.75].
31 (1985-86); 5-6 and 9-10 [each \$2.50]. 32 (1987-88); 5-6 [each \$2.50].
33 (1989); 4-6, 7-9, and 10-12 [each \$2.50]. 34 (1990); 2 and 4 [each \$2.50].
35 (1991); 3 [ 2.50 ]. 37 (1993); 1, 2, 3, and 4 [each $\$ 2.50$ ].
38 (1994-); 1, 2, 3, and 4 [each $\$ 2.50$ ]. Current Issue [39, 1]-\$3.00.

## KEEPING Your membership Current-

A.L.P.O. memberships include a subscription to the Strolling Astronomer (J.A.L.P.O.); the top line of your mailing label gives the volume and issue number for which your membership will expire (e.g., "39.1" means Vol, 39, No.1). We include a First Renewal Notice in that issue, and a Final Notice in the next one.
Please also let the Membership Secretary know if your address changes.

## Contributing to The Strolling Astronomer (J.A.L.P.O.) -

We welcome reports and observations from our readers and our staff, although we do not wish material that has been published or submitted elsewhere. Please submit double-spaced copy, either typewritten or printed; MS-DOS or Macintosh 3.5 -inch diskette text (ASCII) files are very helpful as well. Gray-scale graphics may also be sent on disk, but should be uncompressed and in a common format such as TIFF, GIF, or PICT. For articles longer than two pages, begin with a 75-150 word abstract (our Journal is abstracted). Cite pertinent references in full, including page numbers. Fully document observations with the name and address of the observer; the observing site if different from the observer's address; the date and time in Universal Time; the telescope type, aperture (cm preferred), and magnification; filters used if any; atmospheric conditions (Seeing on the 0-10 A.L.P.O. scale; Transparency as the limiting stellar magnitude in the vicinity of the object); and other data as pertinent to the object observed. Illustrations are welcome but we cannot publish color. For low-contrast objects, exaggerate contrasts on drawings, photographs, and CCD images. Be sure to indicate the direction of celestial north or south, as well as celestial east or west if the image is laterally reversed.

With all communications with A.L.P.O. staff, please furnish a stamped, self-addressed envelope.

## 

Order the following directly from the appropriate Section and person; use the address on the inside back cover unless another address is given below.

Venus (Benton): (1) The ALPO Venus Observing Kit, \$17.50. Includes introductory description of ALPO Venus observing programs for beginners, a full set of observing forms, and a copy of The Venus Handbook. (2) Observing Forms Packet,, $\$ 10.00$. Includes observing forms to replace the quantity provided in the Observing Kit above. Specify the Venus Forms. (To order the above, send a check or money order made out to "Julius L. Benton, Jr." All foreign orders should include $\$ 5.00$ additional for postage and handling; for domestic orders, these are included in the prices above. Shipment will be made in two to three weeks under normal circumstances. NOTE: Observers who wish to make copies of observing forms have the option of sending a SASE for a copy of forms available for each program. Authorization to duplicate forms is given only for the purpose of recording and submitting observations to the A.L.P.O. Venus, Saturn, or Lunar SAP Section. Observers should make copies using high-quality paper.)

Mars (Troiani): (1) Martian Chronicle; send 8-10 SASE's; published approximately monthly during each apparition. (2) Observing Forms; send SASE to obtain one form which you can copy; otherwise send $\$ 3.60$ to obtain 25 copies (make checks out to "J.D. Beish").
Mars (Astronomical League Sales, 1901 South 10th St., Burlington, IA 52601): ALPO's Mars Observer Handbook, \$9.00.

Jupiter (Westfall): Timing the Eclipses of Jupiter's Galilean Satellites; send a SASE with 55 cents in stamps. This is the project "Observing Kit" and includes a report form.

Saturn (Benton): (1) The ALPO Saturn Observing Kit, $\$ 20.00$. Includes introductory description of ALPO Saturn observing programs for beginners, a full set of observing forms, and a copy of The Saturn Handbook. (2) Observing Forms Packet, $\$ 10.00$. Includes observing forms to replace the quantity provided in the Observing Kit above. Specify the Saturn Forms. (See note for Venus.)

Solar (Maxson): (1) Solar Rotation Reports; send SASE's to receive this monthly newsletter. (2) ALPO Solar Section Catalog of Data Vol. $11, \$ 5.00$. A list of over 6000 solar observations, observer profiles and Section history in the Solar Section available to aid in professional or amateur research. The first printing was in March, 1991; a second printing is based on demand.
Solar (Graham): Solar and Lunar Eclipse Observations 1943-1993; $\$ 25.00$ postpaid. (A Handbook for Solar Eclipses is under preparation.)

Lunar (Benton): (1) The ALPO Lunar Section's Selected Areas Program (SAP), $\$ 17.50$. Includes a full set of observing forms for the assigned or chosen lunar area or feature, together with a copy of the Lunar Selected Areas Program Manual. (2) Observing Forms Packet, $\$ 10.00$. Includes observing forms to replace the quantity provided in the Observing Kit above. Specify the Lunar Forms. (See note for Venus.)
Lunar (Graham): (1) Forms with explanations (in English or German); send a SASE. (2) Lunar Photometry Handbook, \$5.00 Ppd. paperbound, \$15.00 Ppd. hardbound. (3) Orders are now being accepted for a Lunar Eclipse Handbook, $\$ 3.00$ paperbound plus $\$ 1.00$ shipping and handling. (4) Solar and Lunar Eclipse Observations 1943-1993; $\$ 25.00$ postpaid.

Lunar (Jamieson): A "Lunar Observer's Tool Kit," consisting of a $5-1 / 4-\mathrm{in}$. MS/DOS diskette containing an observation-planning program and a lunar dome data base with built-in instructions. Price $\$ 20.00$.

Comets (Machholz): Send SASE's to the Recorder for monthly installments of Comet Comments, a onepage newsletter reviewing recent comet discoveries and recoveries, and providing ephemerides for bright comets.

Meteors (Astronomical League Sales, 1901 South 10th St., Burlington, IA 52601): The handbook, Observe Meteors, by David H. Levy and Stephen J. Edberg, is available for $\$ 6.00$.

Minor Planets (Derald D. Nye, 10385 East Observatory Drive, Corona de Tucson, AZ 85641-2309): Subscribe to: The Minor Planet Bulletin; quarterly, $\$ 9.00$ per year for the United States, Mexico and Canada; or $\$ 13.00$ for other countries (air mail only).

## THE ASSOCIATION OF LUNAR AND PLANETARY OBSERVERS

Founded by Walter Haas in 1947, the A.L.P.O. now has about 650 members. Our dues include a subscription to the quarterly Journal, The Strolling Astronomer, and are $\$ 16.00$ for one year ( $\$ 26.00$ for two years) for the United States, Canada, and Mexico; and $\$ 20.00$ for one year ( $\$ 33.00$ for two years) for other countries. One-year Sustaining Memberships are $\$ 25.00$; Sponsorships are $\$ 50.00$. There is a 20-percent surcharge on all memberships obtained through subscription agencies or which require an invoice.

Our advertising rates are $\$ 85.00$ for a full-page display advertisement, $\$ 50.00$ per half-page, and $\$ 35.00$ per quarter-page. Classified advertisements are $\$ 10.00$ per column-inch. There is a 10 -percent discount for a three-time insertion on all advertising.

All payments should be in U.S. funds, drawn on a U.S. bank with a bank routing number, and payable to "A.L.P.O." VISA and MasterCard may be used. When writing our staff, please provide stamped, self-addressed envelopes.

## Association Board of Directors

Julius L. Benton, Jr. Walter H. Haas
Harry D. Jamieson (Chair)
Donald C. Parker
Elizabeth W. Westfall
(Secretary)
John E. Westfall
(Treasurer)

## Association Staff

Executive Director
Harry D. Jamieson
P.O.Box 16882, Memphis, TN 38186-0882
CompuServe 104145.754) Associate Director Donald C. Parker 12911 Lerida Street Coral Gables, FL 33156

## Editor

John E. Westfall
P.O. Box 16131

San Francisco, CA 94116
(CompuServe 73737,1102;
FAX 415-731-8242) Founder/
Director Emeritus Walter H. Haas 2225 Thomas Drive
Las Cruces. NM 88001
Membership Secretary Harry D. Jamieson
(Address under Exec. Dir.)
Book Review Editor
Jose Olivarez
1469 Valleyview Court
Wichita. KS 67212 Publicist Ken Poshedly
3440 Everson Bay Ct. Snellville, GA 30278
Lunar and Planetary Training Program (Coordinators)

- Timothy J. Robertson 2010 Hillgate Way \#L Simi Valley, CA 93065 - Matthew Will • 2112 Austin Drive Springfield, IL 62704



## Explore Venus...

## The Sky \& Telescope Venus Globe

Our 12 -inch scale model of Venus is based on radar data from the Magellan orbiter, which mapped the planet's surface from 1990 to 1994. It is color coded to represent elevation, and all major landforms are labeled. Produced in cooperation with NASA and the U.S. Geological Survey. BONUS! Each globe comes with "Exploring Venus," a 24-page reprint from Sky \& Telescope. Explore craters, faults, lava plains, and bizarre "pancake" domes - in eye-popping 3-D! Includes two pairs of 3-D glasses. VENUS . . . \$94.95

## and Mars...

## The Sky \& Telescope Mars Globe

With this detailed 12 -inch model of the red planet, you can see more than 100 identified topographic features as well as major bright and dark regions visible from Earth. It was produced in cooperation with NASA and the U.S. Geological Survey. Comes with a clear pedestal and an information booklet. 39214 .. . \$84.00


The Essential Magazine of Astronomy
Since 1941, Sky \& Telescope has been the best source of astronomy news and information in the universe. Each monthly issue brings you the latest astronomical discoveries, expertly written feature articles, spectacular astrophotographs, and detailed charts and tables for observing celestial objects and events.

## Call Toll Free 800-253-0245

(Outside the U.S. and Canada, call +1 -617-864-7360.) 24-hour fax: 617-864-6117 or
Visit SKY Online at http://www.skypub.com or e-mail to orders@skypub.com

